SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**American Journal of Experimental Agriculture**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_AJEA_13980**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Callus Induction and Plant Regeneration from Shoot Tips of Ginger (Zingiber officinale Rosc.)**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Result and Discussion no tables and Authors not clearly indicate specific concentration individual or combination treatment hormones. Ex. 2.0 mg/l BAP+0.5 mg/l 2,4-D or BAP 2.0ng/l ,0.5 mg/l 2,4-D. Need statistical analysis either SD OR DUNKENS MULTIPLETEST. RESULTS NEED STATISICAL TABLE. NO NEED FIGURE. TABLE CONTAIN mean+ SD. PHOTOPLATE INSTEREDOF PLATE-1.Fig.a. I CLEARLY INDICATE NEED TABLE**	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Anonymous**
Department, University & Country	**India**