



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_14497
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of shelterbelt and land management on soil carbon sequestration in shelterbelt-pasture system at Charles Sturt University, Orange campus
Type of the Article	Journal article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	works that address this issue are of great relevance, however, the indicators analyzed, as well as to discussion presented are insufficient to permit a strong inference about carbon sequestration, the proposed title; the importance of the topic is not well described on the basis of existing literature; the quote is old. There is a vast literature on carbon sequestration in conservation tillage systems in the soil that could have been better explored and compared to the work; the figures are not legible and not in most cases do not support the resulted and discussions, which said this in lines 172-174. no equations, and their respective coefficients, which represent the regression analyses performed were presented; that analysis was performed to indicate differences between sites and between seasons? discussion of the results should be further developed to better base the conclusion.	There is a mixture of old as well as new quotes, certain facts do not change over time, it's depends on which material one has access to.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		