



SDI Review Form 1.6

`	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_14497
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of shelterbelt and land management on soil carbon sequestration in shelterbelt-pasture system at Charles Sturt University, Orange campus
Type of the Article	Research- Journal Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The carbon analysis method has to be more precise. Sometime, author writes liable carbon, other time, it is write labile carbon, check and correct for labile carbon Lines 182-183: specify that "at 10-20 cm depth" Lines 211-212: announced values are absent in table 2	We did not go into detail analysis because we wanted to save space (reduce the number of pages) however, two paragraphs of carbon analysis method has been added. The word is labile not liable this was a typographical mistake which has been corrected Announced value is now in table 3
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		