



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_13980
Title of the Manuscript:	Callus Induction and Plant Regeneration from Shoot Tips of Ginger (Zingiber officinale Rosc.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	- There are some grammatically errors like got indefinite article with plural noun, missing article (the, a, an), and some incomplete sentence. Consider rewriting the sentence or connecting the fragment with another sentence. - The objective and the results of this study have been established by other researcher and no noble value could be considered. - Only a few treatments were tested on the callus production where it had been published by other researchers. - All the results for callus study should be summarized in one Table data to enable us review the significant effects among the treatments.	1- Done 2- About the point 2 and 3 we considered that this work is an initial step to examine few concentrations. 3- About point 4 the figures are clearly explain the results, so we think that there is no need for tables.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	- The image of figures 6 are not clear. Measurement bar should put in each figure to enable us determine the size of sample.	The size of samples is not needed in images of callus induction and regeneration protocols. And the data of figure shows the growth parameters.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Please state the significant conclusion of this study since no new findings can be considered.	Done