SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**American Journal of Experimental Agriculture**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_AJEA_9118**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Utilization of Dicalcium phosphate and different Bone meals as Dietary phosphorus Supplement in the Diets of Clarias gariepinus Fingerlings**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**In water quality analysis the author needs to clarify that whether it is Nitrate or Nitrite estimation. My comments are there on the manuscript.**	
Minor REVISION comments	Some minor grammatical corrections as suggested on text needs to be incorporated.	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is well written.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Anonymous**
Department, University & Country	**India**