



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_9118
Title of the Manuscript:	Utilization of Dicalcium phosphate and different Bone meals as Dietary phosphorus Supplement in the Diets of Clarias gariepinus Fingerlings
Type of the Article	original

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1- Abstract conclusion does not match with title. 2- Check lordosis definition (line 26). To my knowledge, lordosis means excessive inward curvature of the spine. 3- Line 83, all tables: remove unneeded decimal places. 4- Why plates 1 to 4 are needed? 5- Line 106. Consider using $MWG(g) = W_t - W_i$ instead of $W_i - W_t$. 6- Line 126: remove "below". 7- Table 2, NFE? 8- Table 3 and Table 4: DO? 9- Discussion starts on line 182 (remove from line 173 to 181). It must improve discussion (focus on title, objectives and conclusions). 10- Line 192 is not a study conclusion. 11- Lines 193 to 195 are discussion. 12- Lines 197 to 200 are also discussion. 13- Make a direct and simple conclusion. 14- Line 208: 3118? 15- Check all references form. 16- The weakest part of the manuscript is the discussion.	1. Not agree with this submission. 2. Lordosis covers deformed back as a result of bent spine with a direct or indirect impact on crania abnormality. 3. Removing the decimal places rendered the information provided invalid and far from been correct. 4. To support the work for the purpose of clarity. 5. Noted and effected 6. Noted and effected 7. Nitrogen Free Extract (NFE). the carbohydrate or energy content of the diet 8. Dissolve Oxygen (DO). 9. I have contrary opinion. For the study proper to be discussed, relevant preliminary information ought to be given. 10. Araging information may be faulty, but it is always good to provide information outside the work to make it robust. 14. is 318 not 3118 15. Checked 16. Noted



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	ok	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	ok	