



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_9118
Title of the Manuscript:	Utilization of Dicalcium phosphate and different Bone meals as Dietary phosphorus Supplement in the Diets of <i>Clarias gariepinus</i> Fingerlings
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Page 1, line 29. It should read as "adenosine triphosphate". 2. Page 5, line 101. The method used for nitrate determination should be mentioned. 3. Page 7, table 2. Mean$\pm$SD should be presented as reported in table 3, 4 and 5. 4. Discussion is needed to be extended. Please discuss about the effect of different phosphorus sources on the growth performance and all parameters previously mentioned in the material and methods is needed to be discussed as well.	1. Corrected 2. By ion chromatography method (Michalski and Kurzyca, 2005). 3. Not necessary for diets since sample of each was taken to laboratory for analysis. 4. noted
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		