



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_9118
Title of the Manuscript:	Utilization of Dicalcium phosphate and different Bone meals as Dietary phosphorus Supplement in the Diets of Clarias gariepinus Fingerlings
Type of the Article	Research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reference (s) should be supplied to statements made in line 42-44 and 45-46 to avoid plagiarism charges. Line 57 in the materials and methods; specific dates for the experiment is superfluous, experimental duration is sufficient. Grammar: Line 76 should be 'ground' not 'grind' Line 79, duration of sun drying of the pelleted diets should be stated and the cooling degree of the refrigerator should also be stated.	Reference supplied. The information was provided for the purpose of clarity and accuracy as been asked by some journals. Correction effected. Sun dried for two consecutive days and the cooling degree of the refrigerator was maintained at between 15-16°C.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		