



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_10957
Title of the Manuscript:	Determine Demographic Characteristics of Women Involvement on the Improved Methods of Groundnut Processing in Three (3) Local Government Areas of Niger State, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The title needs to be modified. "<u>Demographic Characteristics of Women Involvement in Improved Methods of Groundnut Processing in Three Local Government Areas of Niger State, Nigeria</u>" will be acceptable. • The two objectives of the study should be clearly stated in the abstract. • There are several grammatical and typographical errors in the abstract and the manuscript. For example; lines 11, 13, 17, 28, 36, etc. • The methodology section needs to be detail • The result and discussion should be detailed. The discussion needs to be liked with the study objectives. • Graphical or pictorial presentation of the information in Tab 1 would lead to a better understanding of the results • Bibliography should be updated to include recent literature. 	All the correction made by the reviewer is agreed by me, and all the correction has been effected .



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The paper attempted to present demographic characteristics of women involvement in improved methods of groundnut processing in three local government areas of Niger state, Nigeria. This paper lacks detail description of the methodology and results and will not be suitable for publication as an original research paper. But the manuscript can be considered for publication as a short communication after serious revision.	I agreed with the reviewer, but detailed methodology of the study area has already been provided in corrected manuscript.