



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_10957
Title of the Manuscript:	Determine Demographic Characteristics of Women Involvement on the Improved Methods of Groundnut Processing in Three (3) Local Government Areas of Niger State, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The title of the article is not does not seems right "Determine Demographic Characteristics of Women Involvement on the Improved Methods of Groundnut Processing in Three (3) Local Government Areas of Niger State, Nigeria. The author may consider "An assessment of demographic characteristics of women involved in groundnut processing in This is because the author also make reference to those who are not using the improved methods. 2. Normally abstract do not contain citations. Please kindly go through the abstract and take away all the citations 3. Kindly take away line 8-11 in the abstract as they do not give good introductory message. Alternatively, you reframe it 4. Line 13, two specific objectives should be mentioned. 5. Line 15, the detail explanation on the method not necessary 6. Lien 15 to 21 should be deleted start from point 	All the correction has been effected in the corrected manuscript



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>A</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 7. Page 2 line 47 is Ugwu and Abo (2009) a sentence. Please kindly check this 8. Page 2 line 49, check the rating style 9. Page 2 line 66, please kindly check the citation presented here 10. Page 3 line 97, check the word “structure questionnaire” 11. Page 3 line 98, is this a sentence “ with the help of numerators” check the dot at the end of this statement. 12. Page 4 line 133, check this statement “ reduce cost of hired.....” 13. Page 4 line 138, check 2007 which ? 14. Page 4 line 144 and 145 kindly check this statement “implication of this result implies...” 15. Page 4 line 147 Umar (2007) kindly check the dot after this citation 16. Page 4 line 165, reframe this sentence for clarity 17. Page 6, line 233 kindly review the first sentence for clarity 18. Page 6 line 235, what is the difference between credit facility and loan 19. Line 249 kindly review the sentence for clarity 20. Page 6 line 269, please what does this refer to *multiple response 21. Page 7 line 278 review this sentence for clarity 22. Lien 284 if the first sentences a recommendation? Kindly review this sentence 	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		