

Editorial comment:

In the attached file you can find the revised article with my comments. The text I have marked in the article must be changed because authors use the same exactly words with a previous work of Tijani et al (2006). This is very important.

Author's feedback:

Authors have addressed the editorial comments by changing the text marked. That is, the text

“The traditional concept of efficiency draws from the seminal works of Farrel [8] who suggested the three main components of efficiency namely: technical, allocative and economic efficiencies. Technical efficiency is defined as the ability to achieve a higher level of output given similar levels of inputs. Allocative efficiency deals with the extent to which farmers make efficient decisions by using inputs up to the level at which their marginal contribution to production value is equal to the factor costs. Technical and allocative efficiencies are components of economic efficiency”.

Have been replaced by the text

“The conventional notion of efficiency can be credited to the prominent works of Farrel [8] who suggested that; technical, allocative and economic efficiencies constitute the main components of efficiency. Farmers ability to achieve a maximum output given similar input levels measure their technical efficiencies whilst the optimum use of these inputs up to the level at which their marginal value of productivity is equal to the marginal factor cost is referred to as allocative efficiency. However, technical and allocative efficiencies are the main components of economic efficiency”.

The text has been highlighted yellow, that is, line 63 – 67 in the authors revised paper.