SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**American Journal of Experimental Agriculture**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_AJEA_14953**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Effect of organic and chemical fertilizers on growth, yield and essence content of peppermint (Mentha piperita L.)**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Merge table 2-4 together.** **Point out the most important indicators that impact quality of peppermint at harvest, and introduce the effect of organic and inorganic fertilizer on that of peppermint in Introduction section. More discussion should be done on the basis of those indicators in Discussion section.** **List the actual nutrient element inputs for 7 treatments by table in Materials section.** **Separate the Results and Discussion.** **The conclusion that "sheep manure) is recommended……" is not rigorous.**	Qualitative trait means essence content at the leaves at harvesting time. The nutrient element content of sheep and vermicompost fertilizer is shown in Table 1 and the amount of NPK fertilizer added to each pot is shown in the text. Ok. All of the reviewer's comments will be done on the text.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		