SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**American Journal of Experimental Agriculture**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_AJEA_14924**
Title of the Manuscript:	**RESOURCE-USE-EFFICIENCY AMONG SMALLHOLDER GROUNDNUT FARMERS IN NORTHERN REGION, GHANA**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	Author should use generalized log-likelihood ratio hypothesis tests (1) for choosing production function between the Cobb-Douglas production function and the translog production function and (2) for technical inefficiency effects.	Have used the generalize log-likelihood ratio hypothesis test and have settled on translog rather then Cobb-Douglas after the test.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		