



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_14589
Title of the Manuscript:	The Impact of weather conditions on ~~response of~~ sorghum genotypes ~~response to~~ anthracnose (~~scientific name and authority~~) infection
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<u>The manuscript is well written apart from minor sentence rephrasing that is required. Materials and Methods are clearly written as well as the other parts of the manuscript. A stronger clearer conclusion would however be necessary. It is not clear if there were any resistant genotypes irrespective of weather conditions or the non-susceptibility was solely due to lack of favourable conditions for disease development.</u> <u>I assumed the accessions were treated as genotypes? It would be good to show which genotypes were resistant and which were susceptible, and which were resistant irrespective of weather conditions and their source (eg Ethiopia, Mali, Kenya) even as supplementary material.</u>	Thanks for reviewing the manuscript. Table 3 addresses the reaction of the 68 accessions to anthracnose infection. Resistant sources from the different countries noted in the conclusion.
Optional/General comments		

Formatted Table