



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_14564
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of factors associated with low yield of cashew among farmers in growing areas of Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Line 20: Consistency in the use of (p<0.05) or (P<0.05) which is which? Check references: Olife, Caswell have been listed but not cited while Wikipedia has been cited but not listed.	(p<0.05) is correct and us already effected in the manuscript Olife, Caswell had been deleted from the reference list and wikipedia had been replaced with Pradeepkumar
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Line 196: Uwagboe et al. (2010) not Uwagboe <i>et al</i> , 2010	It has been corrected
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Line 23: Use simple words other than 'Militating' Line 39: Asogwa, (2006) should read (Asogwa, 2006) Line 42: Azam-Ali and Judge (2004) not Azam-Ali and Judge, (2004) This sentence 'Cashew nuts are offered at wedding ceremonies as a token of fertility and are considered by many to have aphrodisiac properties' for good flow should come at the end of line 45. Line 112: Indicate when the study was conducted in the abstract Line 329: Should read 'Cashew nuts and production development in Nigeria' not 'Cashew Nuts and Production Development in Nigeria' Line 237: should read farmers' not farmers Line 343: Should read 'Cashew processing for economic development in Nigeria' not 'Cashew Processing for Economic Development in Nigeria' If there are any ethical issues please clarify	It has been corrected All the comments have effected. You can cross check with the corrected version of manuscript