



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_15068
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Nutritional Analysis of Egg Yolks and Egg Albumin of Domestic Chicken, Guinea Fowl and hybride chicken.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This study compared nutritional qualities of chicken and wild fowl. I have included extensive hand written comments as a separate document.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Ethical guidelines for the animals are not specified.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This study is flawed in that it does not consider the nutritional history of the hens from which the eggs were sampled. The diet is well-known to affect the quality of the eggs. ethical issues-; Only the need to specify the ethical supervisory body that approved the study.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	USA