

Editorial comment:

In fact I read the revised version carefully as the issue is very serious: Chemical and Pesticides uses status in the Chalan beel, Bangladesh and its impact on fish Biodiversity

Authors collected data through survey only but there is no experimental approach for the impact of Chemical and Pesticides uses on fish Biodiversity, only using survey or interview CAN we STATE that biodiversity has been lost due to Chemical and Pesticides uses.

For example, a village woman was died and neighbors stated that she died by taking poisons/ drugs. What do you believe that police files a case against his husband or else with just interview of neighbors, Definitely NOT !!! Doctors/ Some experts will examine his intestine, gut or inside the body for poisons or else, then finally confirmed her death. I think you got my points.

Authors should change the title as "Chemical and Pesticides uses status in the Chalan beel, Bangladesh and present status of fish Biodiversity" like it.

In fact its a crucial issue on declining fish biodiversity.

Author's feedback:

Authors collected data through survey only but there is no experimental approach for the impact of Chemical and Pesticides uses on fish Biodiversity, only using survey or interview CAN we STATE that biodiversity has been lost due to Chemical and Pesticides uses

>> Appreciate to catch up the point. We are basically made this issue clear in the methodology that the data used in this study were collected in survey method. Although the survey method has some flaws nevertheless, the findings obtain from this study can be used as a baseline information on how pesticide and chemicals can have an effect on the diversity of fishes on that particular habitat. We do agree with the editor that only the survey method is not sufficient to make the conclusion that BIODIVERSITY HAS BEEN LOST because of chemical and pesticide uses, therefore we have declared that more in depth research is needed to confirm the fish diversity loses together with survey method.