



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_15068
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Nutritional Analysis of Egg Yolks and Egg Albumin of Domestic Chicken, Guinea Fowl and hybride chicken.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Justify sample size of 3. It is not correct to make conclusion and inferences based on sample size of 3. -add egg weights, yolk and albuments weights as well. - Provide dietary composition of flocks, and average live weight of animals that laid these eggs. - Discussion is weak and too broad.	All the corrections and additions recommended by the reviewer has been noted and effected
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	- This study was conducted to compare ... - add parameters in paranthesis after "proximate" - The means were - Use small letters in ALBUMEN - There are many subject-verb disagreements.	agreed and effected
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		