



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_15068
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Nutritional Analysis of Egg Yolks and Egg Albumin of Domestic Chicken, Guinea Fowl and hybride chicken.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	ABSTRACT chapter should be shorter, without subsections: Aim, Methodology, Results, Conclusions, in the form of dense text. Chapters 1. INTRODUCTION 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS, 4. DISCUSSION instead of names, enter the digits. The numbers should be given in the order of publication for the first time in tekście. W chapter ABSTRACT there is no information on the size of the samples taken from the eggs of birds of different origins / genotype, the composition of the diet fed birds, birds' age, date of obtaining eggs for evaluation (how many hours after abolition). Total impact on the composition of the diet proximates content, minerals and vitamins in the yolk and egg white. In this work, there is no comparison between the protein content of the ingredients and the yolk are comparisons within and around the yolk protein for birds of different genotype. The term "3 eggs sample" is vague whether the 3 eggs, 30 or perhaps 300 eggs, it is better to write in the eggs of birds of 3 different genotypes. Line 33 - 321.5 mg / kg did not 321mg / kg. In this chapter you should write what was characterized by protein and egg	All the corrections and additions recommended by the reviewers of this manuscript has been effected.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	yolk guinea fowl, domestic chicken and chicken hybrid, and not describe how many of the individual components, where possible, and where the least. INTRODUCTION Chapter 64-66 lines please provide recent data on egg production are on the internet, you may Mt, line 76 Fetal Development - uppercase? Chapter MATERIALS AND METHODS (line 95) is written by 6 samples in Chapter ABSTRACT (line 12) and only 3 samples. No information about the number of eggs in the collected samples, age and feeding hens, sampling period for evaluation. Line 118 - Kalidassa and Mahapatra, 2014 is redundant. Chapter RESULTS introduce protein / egg yolk with different backgrounds instead of eg. "Egg yolk of the 3 eggs". Lines 121-123 - no such comparisons in Table 1, the word "hybride" please turn on "hybrid" or "crossbred" Table 1 - enter a period instead of a colon after the "1", the word proximate (%) move to the center, line 150, 156, 167 "white" instead aLBUMIN, guinea fowl table 2 yolk - no signs of significance. DISCUSSION In this chapter, please enter the debate - a comparison with the results of other studies, particularly the chicken egg, line 244 instead of vitamins Vitamins, 5. CONCLUSIONS instead of 5.0 CONCLUSION, REFERENCES instead of Reference. REFERENCES inventory in order of	
--	---	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

	appearance in the text of the scientific position for the first time. Line 301 is given the names of authors instead of names. Should be: Kaźmierska, M, Jarosz, B, Korzeniowska, M, Trziska, T, Dobrzański, Z. (2005), name of the journal are: Pol. J. Food Nutr. Sci 14 (55), 69-73. Line 322 Trziska, T. (2000) Processing of eggs in Egg Science, Ed. University of Agriculture in Wroclaw (Poland), 291-401. Please be prepared to work in accordance with the requirements of the journal American Journal of Experimental Agriculture - see other work on the internet or General guideline for Authors - SCIENCEDOMAIN International. DISCUSSION In this chapter there are too few dyskusji- comparisons with the results of previous assessments. Title of the paper, "Comparative Analysis of Nutritional Egg Yolks and Egg Albumin of Domestic Chicken, Guinea Fowl and chicken hybride" please change to "Comparison of the nutritional value of eggs and chicken guinea fowl" or comparison of basic chemical composition, content of minerals and vitamins in eggs and chicken guinea fowl. The job requires additions and corrections. After adjustment is required revisiting work. Current knowledge about the chemical composition of guinea fowl eggs (proximates their content,	
--	---	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

	minerals, vitamins) is limited. The number of publications on the subject of very low abundance. Further work is needed concerning. Nutritional and dietary guinea fowl eggs. No comparisons chickens and guinea fowl eggs, as well as the impact of nutrition on the chemical composition of guinea fowl eggs. The results presented in the work being evaluated are valuable and contribute substantially to the current state of knowledge about. The chemical composition of eggs and chickens and guinea fowl poultry be published, after the correction of work and re-evaluated.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		