



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_14692
Title of the Manuscript:	An outline for Economical and Technical Analysis of Photovoltaic Cells for Agricultural Uses: A case study on Texas weather conditions
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. In title instead of Photovoltaic Cells the authors should use Photovoltaic panels 2. Abstract may be further modified, the aims 3. The sub titles like Aim, methodology, design results title need not be given in the abstract if it is not the requirement of the journal. These could form the part of introduction. The abstract should provide a summary of the work 4 Language of paper needs to be rechecked and corrected ; e.g at line no. 310 outline--- outlines 5. The possible agriculture applications of PV panels should be discussed.	the abstract format is a requirement from the journal the language was corrected The possible agriculture applications were added in the introduction.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		