



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_14685
Title of the Manuscript:	Chemical and Pesticides uses status in the Chalan beel, Bangladesh and its impact on fish Biodiversity
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	L. 114 Citation needed, please cite some examples here. REFERENCES There are two references number 4 (L 23, 24; and L. 299, 308) and none number 5. Please check that in the text and in the References. Indeed, in the text (discussion and conclusion) I miss from an approach about the need of environmental education and conscientization about the pesticides uses and risks direct and indirect for the human lives but also for fish populations. Because I think that this paper can help to create public policy by managers and decision makers as soon as possible, while there is still time in the Chalan beel.	We have included examples (L 124). We made correction for double reference number 4 and include reference number 5. Ok, in conclusion section we have added one paragraph as "The findings of this study freshwater body in Bangladesh."
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	L 76 to 85 I suggest more precision of details about the condition from study site, eg. another antropic impacts, population size, protected areas etc. L. 112 to 118 please inform the exactly number of semi structured (n=?) and structured questionnaires (n=?) were applied. L. 193 FCDI Table 6: I suggest give the value of Taka also in dollars. L. 264... For an international manuscript, same reason	Thank you very much for your comment. We have included the above matters in Introduction section (L 28 to L 37). Yes, it is FCDI and we made correction. Again thanks. We have replaced the value of Taka into USD. We include a new table (Table 9) mentioning the



SDI Review Form 1.6

	above, please cite the Order/Family and the local fish species status (eg. endemic, exotic, native, migrant, etc.) L. 275 Since 1996...	Order, Family and Species name.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Could you increase the font of figure 1 (e.g., 10-point Helvetica)?	We have changed and increased the quality of Figure 1.