SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**American Journal of Experimental Agriculture**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_AJEA_14194**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Grasscutter (Thryonomys Swinderianus) Production in West Africa: Prospects, Challenges and Role in Disease Transmission**
Type of the Article	**Review Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**I suggest that the diseases transmission part of this review article should be further looked at. The author considered Ghana as one of the countries that have high acceptance of the grass cuter meat, but currently is not so in the country. It is beleived in the country that the grass cuter also harbor certain disease which has being linked up to the Ebola virus. Due to this bush meats generally in the country has reduced smarket.**	
Minor REVISION comments	**No comments**	
Optional/General comments	**The article is good.** **competing interest-: If there are any competing interest please clarify.**	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Anonymous**
Department, University & Country	**Ghana**