

-----Original Message-----

From: XXX YYY<xxx@yyy.zzz>

Sent: Fri, Nov 28, 2014 at 4:28 PM

To: Managing Editor

Subject: RE: Request for editorial decision for manuscript number 2014_AJEA_14920

Even though the authors have filled out the response form 1.6, but is not satisfactory, as they have not indicated the page and line numbers of the text in form 1.6. This will make things easy for me to assess whether the authors have in fact addressed the issues.

In any case I recommend the paper for publication. Hereafter please see the authors read what I suggest and respond (provide the page and line numbers as it appears in the text in the form 1.6), rather than just responding that it has been revised. This is not satisfactory for me.

If I were one of the reviewers, I would have requested for information in the total and soluble nitrogen content in the applied poultry manure, as this is essential to assess whether equivalent quantities of nitrogen was applied regardless of the type of fertilizer. I would say poultry manure might have provided more nitrogen than 200 kg of synthetic fertilizer, because the total N in the applied poultry manure is not provided anywhere in the text of manuscript. In any case I am not raising this as an issue at this stage as the reviewers have already provided their decisions and I am not suggesting at this stage to re-send the paper back to the authors to provide this information.

Editor's Details:

Dr. Velu Rasiah

Department of Environment and Resource Management, Australia

And

School of Science, Information Technology & Engineering, University of Ballarat, Australia

Note: Modification was done in this document ONLY to hide the email id.