

-----Original Message-----

From: XXX YYY<xxx@yyy.zzz>

Sent: Fri, Nov 21, 2014 at 12:16 AM

To: Managing Editor

Subject: Re: Request for editorial decision for manuscript number 2014_AJEA_14464

I agree with the reviewer in what the manuscript is quite poor in English grammar. For example, in Introduction, the phrase “helps sustainable agriculture significantly” is wrong (it must be “significantly helps sustainable agriculture”). In the abstract: “but was found” is also wrong. The manuscript is plenty of these mistakes.

The abstract must be fully redone, with a better explanation of the treatments without using abbreviations. No abbreviations and P values in the abstract. Avoid using “NPK” , use nitrogen-phosphorus-potassium fertilizer instead.

In M&M, the phrase “three rates of cowdung” is repeated. Explain what the control treatment is about.

In general, the treatments must be better explained in the M&M section.

Tables 1 and 2 could be combined in one, they contain almost the same parameters.

All tables need to be improved, with self-explaining legends. The format is not clear, especially table 4. The significance letters allowed the comparison along the columns, this must be explained in the legend.

I strongly recommend a whole revision of the manuscript by the authors, improving abstract, tables format and M&M, especially, And also a revision by English speaking people.

Editor's Details:

Dr. Alejandro E. Ferrari

Dept. of Science and Technology, Universidad Nacional de Quilmes, Argentina

Note: Modification was done in this document ONLY to hide the email id.