



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_14920
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE EVALUATION OF ORGANIC AND INORGANIC MANURE ON SWEET PEPPER PERFORMANCE IN TWO ECOLOGICAL ZONES OF NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<u>Materials and Methods</u> Add description of pepper type, the different experimental locations, number of samples, statistics (analysis, software, significance etc.), field, irrigation, etc.	A description of the pepper type had been made. The experimental locations and number of samples have been included.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<u>Abstract</u> Demonstrate the fertilizers amounts both in ton ha ⁻¹ What are the differences between the two ecological zones? Add the pepper type <u>Introduction:</u> Line 32, You forgot to add a word as "inferior" <u>Material and Methods</u> Line 45: Re type: Soil Organic Matter- 4.3%, N-NO ₃ ?(and all the nutrients change to)- mg Kg ⁻¹ Line 50: Delete one of the words: "rate" and rephrase the sentence. Line 56: rewrite the sentence and "shrink" them: The reproductive measurements included number of fruits... and the vegetative ones....	The fertilizers used have been presented in ton ha ⁻¹ . The differences are there in the materials and methods. The pepper type had been added. The word superior had been needed as appropriate This had been corrected as pointed out The Nitrogen is better expressed in % rather than in NO ₃ the standard way of expressing K is as stated in the manuscript. The word 'rate' had been deleted and the sentence rephrased accordingly (55) The sentence had been corrected (57-59)
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<u>Abstract</u> Connect the branches and leaves to the fruits results, something as followed: "Although the branches Num and were higher in the inorganic.. the amount of fruits was lower..."	The suggestion had been effected