SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**American Journal of Experimental Agriculture**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_AJEA_14920**
Title of the Manuscript:	**COMPARATIVE EVALUATION OF ORGANIC AND INORGANIC MANURE ON SWEET PEPPER PERFOMANCE IN TWO ECOLOGICAL ZONES OF NIGERIA**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Paper is very informative and should be published after the incorporation of minor comments. The English level is really very good and the paper results and information is up to mark.	I have made the necessary corrections. However, I don't subscribe to the use of the word 'maximum' in place of 'highest'. The word highest is preferred.
Optional/General comments		