



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_14194
Title of the Manuscript:	Grasscutter (Thryonomys Swinderianus) Production in West Africa: Prospects, Challenges and Role in Disease Transmission
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	I suggest that the diseases transmission part of this review article should be further looked at. The author considered Ghana as one of the countries that have high acceptance of the grass cutter meat, but currently is not so in the country. It is believed in the country that the grass cutter also harbor certain disease which has been linked up to the Ebola virus. Due to this bush meats generally in the country has reduced market.	The authors further looked at this aspect and included the finding from Ghana as reported by Nang-Beifubah (the Ashanti Regional Director of Health Services)
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	No comments	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The article is good. competing interest:- If there are any competing interest please clarify.	No competing interest