



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_12445
Title of the Manuscript:	Nutrients Metabolizability of Nectar for Long-Term Maintenance of Hummingbirds and Effect of a Dietary Fibre Source
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		We thank you for yours revisions.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The mainly objective of the study is to evaluate the nutritional effect of inulin addition, in nectar formulated to maintain in good health captive adult <i>Amazilia amazilia</i>. Inulin seems to be the latest health food craze. Currently, inulin is promoted primarily as a pre-biotic and as a fiber supplement. No information are obtained allowing them to breed (delate page 4, line 87).	Line 87 – We agree. We deleted the sentence.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The manuscript is scientifically robust with technical quality.	Thank you very much!!!