

Integrating Mechanical and Chemical Control Treatments to Manage Invasive
Weed *Chromolaena odorata* (L.) R.M.King and H.Robinson
in Grassland Area

Abstract

An experiment was conducted on *Chromolaena odorata* dominated pasture to determine the efficacy of integrated mechanical and chemical control on regrowth of *Chromolaena* and other weeds and to determine their botanical composition at 30, 60 and 90 days after treatment application. Treatments were T1 spraying of Roundup on slashed *Chromolaena*, T2 spraying of Roundup on normal *Chomolaena*, T3 spraying of Triclopyr on slashed *Chromolaena*, T4. spraying of Triclopyr on normal *Chromolaena*. Efficacy was assessed on the basis of dry weight of weeds yielded at 30, 60 and 90 days after herbicide applications. ~~Results of experiment showed that~~ Both herbicides were more effective when sprayed on normal than on slashed *Chrmolaena*. Regardless of slashing, Triclopyr was more effective than Roundup in suppressing weeds. In Roundup sprayed plots, *Chromolaena*, and other weeds were the dominant plants, whereas in Triclopyr sprayed plots, herbage was the dominant plant, however dominance of *Chromolaena* progressively increased over time. The results suggest that the interval between slashing and spraying of herbicides is an important factor to determine the efficacy of integrating slashing and herbicide to control *Chromolaena*.

Keywords: *Chromolaena odorata*, integrated slashing and herbicidal control, weed suppression, botanical composition

Comment [NA1]: Write full name throughout the text and write capital c for *Chromolaena* when it comes in running sentence after 1st time

Comment [NA2]: Delete abbreviation

Comment [NA3]: Write common name of herbicides

1.Introduction

Chromolaena odorata (L.) King and H.Robinson (hereafter called *Chromolaena*), a species of *Asteraceae* family is a perennial shrub native to subtropical and tropical America (Holm *et al.*, 1977; Gautier, 1992). It has been reported as one of the world's most invasive species. It is considered to be a serious weed problem in Africa, India, Pacific island and South East Asia (McFadyen, 2003). It was introduced to India in the 1840s as an ornamental plant from where it spreads to South East Asia and since the Second World War, it has been spreading rapidly throughout Indonesia. It is considered as the most noxious weed in pasture areas because it reduces grazing area for livestock and hinders biodiversity conservation by changing the botanical composition of pasture.

In the pasture area owned by the Faculty of Animal Science Hasanuddin University in Enrekang regency, the weed has covered more than 50% of pasture area thus severely reducing carrying capacity of pasture. Lacks of forage because of reducing carrying capacity generally occur during dry season and during the season, many cattle grazing on the pasture were died because of starvation. *Chromolaena* leaves are not eaten by livestock because it is unpalatable when fed fresh to animals (Aro *et al.*, 2009). The weed is also toxic to animals because of high levels of nitrate (5 – 6 times above toxic levels) (Sajise *et al.*, 1974). As all parts of the plant contain alkaloid that is bitter tasting, livestock will avoid it. Because of these reasons, presence of the weed in grassland area needs to be controlled.

The control of *Chromolaena* is difficult because of its ability to thrive in a wide variety of soils, rapid attainment of reproductive maturity, large production of easily dispersible seeds, a significant proportion of seeds persisting in the soil more than one year and strong ability to resprout after burning (Witsvosky and Wilson, 2001).

Chromolaena can be controlled by mechanical, chemical, cultural, biological and integrated methods. Mechanical control includes uprooting and slashing that have been the most widely used control measure against the weed. However, to be effective in the long term, the weed must be slashed frequently until its carbohydrates reserve content is exhausted. Escalating labor cost makes this method is prohibitively expensive. Integration with other control methods such as chemical control may be effective and economical.

Many reviews on the use of herbicides for the control of *Chromolaena* are available (Erasmus, 2013; Muniappan and Marutani, 2014). Herbicides are quicker, are cost effective and disturb the soil less where erosion may be of concern. A wide range of herbicides have been evaluated for the control of *Chromolaena*. These include 2,4-D amine, picloram, tebuthiuron, imazapyr, glyphosate and Triclopyr. In Indonesia, glyphosate, next to paraquat, are commonly used herbicides to control *Chromolaena* in grassland area. Triclopyr, although effective to control of *Chromolaena* (Erasmus, 2013), is rarely used in grassland area. There is a paucity of information concerning the efficacy of use of glyphosate and triclopyr in grassland area. The present study was aimed at determining integration of slashing and herbicidal (Roundup and Triclopyr) control method on regrowth suppression of *Chromolaena*.

and other weeds and observing their botanical composition after treatments applied in grassland area.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study site

The experiment was conducted during the dry season in a pasture owned by the Faculty of Animal Science Hasanuddin University. The site was located at Maiwa, Enrekang regency South Sulawesi Indonesia from July to November 2012 (3°33'57" S, 119°47'31"E) at about 1300 m above sea level. The climate of the area is tropical monsoon characterized by one rainy season (November to June) and one dry season (July to November). The annual average rainfall was 2426 mm with a daily average temperature of approximately 27.34° C. The soil texture was silty clay loam. The area was heavily infested by combinations of *Chromolaena*, *Stachytarpheta jamaicensis*, *Borreria* sp and some other weeds and herbage species.

2.2. Experimental design and treatments


The study was conducted on a *Chromolaena* dominated pasture with density of 300 – 500 stems/plot and height of 1 – 2 m. Community coefficient values indicated homogeneity among the plant communities were 60 – 70%. The herbicides used were Roundup and Triclopyr. A knapsack sprayer fitted with a fan jet nozzle was used for spraying the herbicides.

The experimental design was a split plot in time design with four integrated chemical and mechanical controls as sub plots, and three slashing times after application of herbicide as the main plots. There were three replications for each treatment. The four integrated chemical and mechanical control treatments were: T1 spraying of Roundup on slashed *Chromolaena* and other plants, T2 spraying of Roundup on unslashed *Chromolaena* and other plants, T3 spraying of Triclopyr on slashed *Chromolaena* and other plants, and T4 spraying of Triclopyr on unslashed *Chromolaena* and other plants. Spraying of herbicides was conducted at two weeks after slashing of *Chromolaena* with the slashing height of 10 cm above soil surface. Plot sizes were 5.0 x 5.0 m and a 1 m space between plots was allotted to prevent treatment effects of one plot to other plots. The study area was fenced off using barbed wire to height of 2,0 m to keep out animals and unauthorized persons. The fenced area measured 50 x 40 m. A 100 m wide area outside the fences was ring-weeded using a motorized brush cutter to prevent accidental burning.

Roundup and Triclopyr were applied at the rates of 2.4 kg a.i./ha and 1.23 kg a.i./ha with concentrations of 10 g and 4 g L⁻¹, respectively. The form of Triclopyr used was butoxy ethyl ester (Garlon 4)). ~~Application of herbicides were~~Application of herbicides was conducted on day 15 days after slashing of *Chromolaena*. The efficacy of treatment was determined by measuring dry matter weight of surviving weeds at 30, 60 and 90 days after herbicide application (DAHA). More dry matter of weeds yielded indicates that the treatment was the less effective. Dry matter weight of regrowth was taken randomly from cutting of plants

Comment [NA4]: See previous comments

inside the plots at 10 cm above soil surface in quadrants measuring 1 m x 1 m. To determine dry matter content, the fresh



samples obtained were dried in oven at 70⁰ C for 72 h. The botanical composition was calculated as dry matter yield of species comprising the pasture during experiment.

2.3. Statistical analysis

This experiment was conducted using split plot in time design with three times of sampling (30, 60 and 90 days) as main plot and four integrated mechanical and chemical control treatments as sub-plot. SPSS program version 15 was used to conduct all statistical analysis. Differences among each treatment were analyzed using least significant difference (LSD) method.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Efficacy of integrated chemical and mechanical control treatments

Dry matter yield of weeds at 30, 60 and 90 DAHA are shown in Table 1. Average dry matter yield of weeds sprayed with herbicides on normal *Chromolaena* was ~~ere~~ significantly lower than when herbicides sprayed on slashed *Chromolaena*. This indicated that the effective treatment to suppress the regrowth of *Chromolaena* and other weeds was spraying of herbicide on normal growth of *Chromolaena* and less effective when the herbicides were applied on slashed *Chromolaena*.

Comment [NA5]: Write full for ist time

Tabel 1. Dry matter yield of *Chromolaena* and other weeds (g/plot) as influenced by integrated mechanical and chemical ~~method~~after application of herbicides.

Treatment	Plants	Days after herbicide application			
		30	60	90	Mean
T1	<i>Chromolaena</i>	495,00	1798,35	2053,35	1448.78
	Other weeds	424,00	885.85	1613.30	974.38
	Total	919.00	2684.20	3666.65	2423.16d
T2	<i>Chromolaena</i>	133.35	456.65	1067.32	552.44
	Other weeds	310.67	647.03	1052.70	670.13
	Total	444.02	1103.68	2120.02	1222.57b
T3	<i>Chromolaena</i>	223,35	1120.35	1430.00	924,56
	Other weeds	663.66	1190.00	1545.00	1132.89
	Total	887.01	2310.35	2975.00	2057.45c
T4	<i>Chromolaena</i>	15.00	213.35	695.65	308.00
	Other weeds	81.35	458.26	974.35	504.65
	Total	96.35	671.61	1670.00	812.65a
Mean	<i>Chromolaena</i>	216.68	897.18	1311.58	808.48
	Other weeds	369.92	795.29	1296.34	820.52
Mean	Total weeds	586.88a	1542.47b	3257.75c	

Mean of total weeds at the same row and column sharing different letter are significantly different ($P < 0.05$)

Slashing treatment followed by herbicide application is widely used to control the regrowth of weeds. Slashing of shrub plants reduces their biomass, forces the plants to tap their food reserve in roots or stem base to fuel regrowth and provides more succulent leaves which are more readily penetrated by herbicides. Slashing lowers reserve carbohydrate levels and by timing the herbicide application with the low total non-structural carbohydrate storage, efficacy of herbicide can be maximized (Owens and Madsen, 1998) This strategy has been reported to be successfully in suppressing the regrowth of *Chromolaena* in India where 2,4-

D herbicide is used (Abraham *et al*, 2013). In Swaziland, Ossom *et al*, 2007) also reported that slashing followed by spraying of Roundup was more effective in controlling *Chromolaena* than slashing only.

A possible reason why both herbicides were less effective in controlling regrowth of *Chromolaena* in slashed plots was the blocking of downward translocation of absorbed herbicides influenced by the too short interval between slashing of weeds and application of herbicides. This was in agreement with Motooka *et al*. (2002) that when the plants are in early flushing, translocation of carbohydrates upward from roots or stem bases to a new flush prevents the downward translocation of foliar applied herbicide to the roots. The maximum height of slashed *Chromolaena* when sprayed with herbicides in this study rarely attained a height of 20 cm and this value might be too low to obtain effective results. Erasmus and Van Staden (1987) stated that efficacy of various foliar applied herbicides such as Triclopyr and Glyphosate to *Chromolaena* was high when herbicide was sprayed to actively growing regrowth of 0.5 – 1.0 m tall.

The higher efficacy of both herbicides sprayed on normal *Chromolaena* might be attributed to the high translocation of carbohydrates downward from leaves to roots when herbicides were sprayed, that is after the head and seed had been formed. This was in line with [the results of](#) Nouredine *et al*. (2012) that foliar systemic phloem mobile herbicides have a good efficiency when application were made at post-flowering stages which coincide with translocation of carbohydrates to the roots. This efficiency can reach maximum when the application of herbicides is done in stages where emigration of carbohydrates to the root system is fast.

Average dry matter yields of *Chromolaena* and other weeds in both the slashed and unslashed plots were lower when plants were sprayed with Triclopyr than those of Roundup sprayed plots. The higher efficacy of Triclopyr over Roundup on *Chromolaena* regrowth was also reported by Van Staden (1987) and Ikuenobe and Ayeni (1998). Van Staden (1987) reported that by using Triclopyr, an acceptable level of control could be obtained with 1.8 – 1.9 dm³/ha, whereas by using Roundup, between 3.5 and 4.3 dm³/ha was required for effective control. This indicated that in grassland area, Triclopyr is more suitable to be used to control *Chromolaena* and other weeds than Roundup. The selective properties of Triclopyr give this herbicide is advantage over other herbicides. Vegetation tolerant to Triclopyr remains in place and can compete with other plants, increase biodiversity, and reduces the dependency of repeat herbicide application.

3.2. Botanical composition

Botanical composition as influenced by integrated mechanical and chemical control treatments are shown in Figure 1.




Fig. 1. Changes in dry matter yield of slashed, Roundup sprayed plants (A), unslashed, Roundup sprayed plants (B), slashed, Triclopyr sprayed plants (C) and unslashed, Triclopyr sprayed plants (D).

There were 24 species of weeds and herbage recorded in this study. About 80 % of total plant species comprised only seven species, namely, *Chromolaena odorata*, *Stachytarpheta*

jamaicensis, *Borreria latifolia*, *Borreria laevis*, *Borreria ocymoides*, *Cynodon dactylon* and *Axonopus compressus*.

In slashed *Chromolaena* plots sprayed with Roundup, *Chromolaena* began to be the most dominant species at 30 DAHA and continued to increase until the end of study, but in normal *Chromolaena* plots sprayed with Roundup, dominance of *Chromolaena* began at 60 DAHA until the end of study. This might be attributed to low efficacy of Roundup sprayed on slashed *Chromolaena* than sprayed on normal *Chromolaena*. In both slashed and unslashed plots, the lowest botanical composition was herbage species. This lowest botanical composition of herbage indicated that Roundup was unsuitable to control *Chromolaena* in pasture area. This may be attributed to mode of action of Roundup that is, non selective and kills all plants, including grasses (Chang and Liao, 2002). Conversely, in Triclopyr sprayed plots, herbage was always the most dominant plant, conversely, at 30 and 60 DAHA, botanical compositions of *Chromolaena* were low, comparable to *Stachytarpheta*, however at 60 DAHA, *Chromolaena* was dominant again. The highest botanical composition of herbage in Triclopyr sprayed plots may be attributed to differential effects of Triclopyr on the regrowth of plant species that reduced regrowth of *Chromolaena* and other herbaceous broad-leaves species but leave grass species unharmed (Ganapathy, 1997). Thus, spraying Triclopyr in *Chromolaena* dominated pasture is very beneficial because it suppresses regrowth of *Chromolaena* and other broadleaf plants but do not kill grasses. However efficacy of Triclopyr was not lasting because at 90 DAHA, *Chromolaena* began to dominate pasture area again This indicated that herbicides application is not lasting and to achieve a 100 % success in controlling regrowth, repeated application of herbicide is needed and this makes this method is prohibitively expensive. This was in agreement with McFadyen (2004) that chemical control of *Chromolaena* is not economically feasible and it is unlikely that it would be economic in the extensive grassland.

4. Conclusion

Spraying Triclopyr on normal *Chromolaena* and other weeds is recommended as a suitable control method to suppress the weed in pasture area, because ~~beside~~besides providing the highest efficacy of control, it does not kill grasses. However, application of Triclopyr and Roundup on normal *Chromolaena* is not lasting and may require a high cost outlay to achieve a complete control. Further study is required to determine at what stage of growth *Chromolaena* should be sprayed with herbicide to gain efficacy of control.

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0"

Formatted: Left

References

- Abraham T., Thomas CG, P.A. Joseph PA. Herbicides for control of *Chromolaena odorata*. Kerala Agricultural University, India, 2014 <http://www.ehas.cdu.au/chromolaena/proceedings/fourth/abra.htm>.
- Aro SO, Tewe OO, Aletor VA Potentials of siam weed (*Chromolaena odorata*) leaf meal as egg colorant for laying hens. Livestock Research for Rural Development, 2009, 21 (10), 2009.
- Chang SY Liao CH. Analysis of glyphosate, glufosinate and aminomethylphosphoric acid by capillary electrophoresis with indirect fluorescence detection 2002 J. Chromatogr. 2002, 959 : 309 – 315.
- Erasmus DJ. A review of mechanical and chemical control of *Chromolaena odorata* in South Africa. Plant Protection Research Institute, Republic of South Africa, 2014. www.ehs.cdu.au/chromolaena/proceedings/first/1eras.htm.
- Erasmus DJ van Staden J. Screening candidate herbicides in field for chemical control of *Chromolaena odorata* in South Africa. J. Plant Soil, 1987. 3 : 66 – 70.
- Ganapathy C. Environmental fate of Triclopyr. Environmental Monitoring & Pest Management Branch, 1997. Department of Pesticide Regulation, Sacramento, USA.
- Gautier L Taxonomy and distribution of tropical weed, *Chromolaena odorata* (L.). King and H. Robinson. Candollea, 1992, 47 : 645 – 662.
- Holm LG Plucknett, DL Pancho JV Harberger JP. The world's worst weeds distribution and ecology, 1997. University Press of Hawaii, Honolulu.
- Ikuenobe CE Ayeni AO. Herbicidal control of *Chromolaena odorata* in oil palm. Weed Res. 1998, 38 (6) : 397 – 404.
- Muniappan R Marutani M. Mechanical, cultural and chemical control of *Chromolaena odorata*. Agricultural Experimental Station, 2014. University of Guam, USA.
- McFayden RC. *Chromolaena* in South East Asia and the Pacific. Agriculture: New Directions for a New Nation – East Timor (Timor Leste). Ed. by Helder da Costa, Colin Piggitt, Cesar J. da James and J.J. Fox, ACIAR Proceeding No. 113 (Printed Version Published 1n 2003).
- McFadyen RC. *Chromolaena* in East Timor : history, extent and control. In : *Chromolaena* in Pacific Region. Proceedings of the 6th International workshop on biological control and management of *Chromolaena odorata* held in Cairns. Australia, May, 6 – 9 2003.
- Motooka PL, Ching P, Nagai N. Herbicidal weed control methods for pastures and natural areas of Hawaii. Cooperative Extension Service College of Tropical Agriculture and Human Resources University of Hawaii at Manoa, 2002

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Noureddine RB, Mohamed, Bouchaib B. The evolution on non-structural carbohydrates in the wild jube “*Ziziphus lotus* (L.) Desf.” and chemical control strategy. IRACST – Eng. Sci. Technol. 2012, 2 (6) : 998 – 1001.

Ossom EB, Lupupa S, Mhlongo, Khumalo L. World Journal of Agricultural Science, 2007, 3 (6) : 704 – 713.

Comment [NA6]: Paaper title is missing

Owens C, Madsen J. Eurasian Watermilfoil control using contact herbicide phenological timing. Aquatic Plant Control Technical Note (C-0). Sept. 1998.

Sajise PE, Palls PK, Norcio NV, Lales JS. Flowering behavior, pattern of growth and nitrate metabolism of *Chromolaena odorata*. Phil. Weed Sci.Bull. 1974, 1 : 17 – 24.

Van Staden, J. Chemical control of *Chromolaena odorata*: efficacy of Triclopyr and Glyphosate applied to regrowth. Appl. Plant Sci. 1987, 1 (1) : 39 – 42.

Witkowski ETF, Wilson M. Changes in density, biomass, seed production and seed ban on non-native plant *Chromolaena odorata* along 15 years chonosequence. Plant Ecol. 2001, 152 (1): 13 – 27.

