



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_15092
Title of the Manuscript:	Yielding reliability of legumes grown as stubble catch crop
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. In the abstract, change the object to objects. 2. Also line 55, Objects not object 3. Line 61and 63. Re-write the values for potassium and phosphorous and ensure they have the same unit if it require conversion. 4. Line 125 and 126 shows you are not sure by putting or. Be specific. 5. Table 3. Why the values from 1949 to 2009? There is no report of 1949 in the manuscript and if you want to use it put the source because it is not your findings. 6. The reference section need the statistical software used. The maker and the year.	1 and 2. It has been corrected 3. It has been done. However we would like to save the same values for P and K as in the earlier version of the manuscript. In the improved manuscript units and values for N and C (line 63) have been adapted for these used for P and K (line 61). 4. "the plants were well supplied with rainwater in July (2007 and 2009) or in July and August (2008). In our opinion this sentence is correct. In fact to succeed in the cultivation of stubble catch crop in Central Eastern Europe, rainfall may occur in July or August, or in both these months. 5. This data have been removed. 6. It has been added.



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Very nice work and good reporting.	Thank you very much