



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_14354
Title of the Manuscript:	Differentiated Contribution of Mineral and Foliar Fertilization to the Wheat Yield
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Author should improve title of the article as per suitability of the research. 2. The author should show the % content of NPK in NPK-Complex and other nutrient content in foliar fertilizers because it has shown by trade name only. 3. During the foliar spray how much water used per ha is not shown, it is need to calculate the amount of foliar fertilizer or nutrient content.	I made corrections and additions suggested and are marked in yellow.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	-----	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	1. In line no. 91, stages 20-30 and 35-37 BBCH is not clearly explain and abbreviate to BBCH. 2. It is useful research on ground level for the wheat growing region of Timiș County.	I made corrections and additions suggested and are marked in yellow.