



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_13832
Title of the Manuscript:	Investigation of Standard ear yield and some Agronomic Characteristics of Baby Corn Var. ksc 403 su under influence of Planting Date and Plant Density
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. It should be mentioned the climatic data of the experimental site 2. The results of stem diameter and number of ears/plant, Dehusked ear yield, dehusked standard ear yield and dehusked sub standard ear yield traits should be interpreted well	I am so sorry that I could not find Weather information the test site But According to the referee Reviews Article has been corrected as much as possible
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. Comments are included in the text of the manuscript (attached in PDF file) 2. Add the meteorological (Climatic) data of the experimental site and use these data in discussion for interpreting the results.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	1. Manuscript requires language editing and improvement 2. Why the experiment was carried out one season only?	