



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_13601
Title of the Manuscript:	INFLUENCE OF PELLETED AND UNPELLETED COMPOSTED ORGANIC WASTE MATERIALS ON GROWTH, DRY MATTER ACCUMULATION AND YIELD OF THREE VARIETIES OF CUCUMBER (Cucumis sativus L) IN THE GREENHOUSE
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	• The authors didn't mention the date of cultivation, year and the season. • Are the authors repeated the experiment for more than one season? • One plant never represent as a replicate. Maybe authors can use each four plants as one replicate (117 pots divided by 39 treatments) • How many plants in each experimental plot? • Is there were drainage system in the used pots? • The cucumber seeds are varieties, cultivar or hybrid? • The physical and chemical analysis doesn't exist, the physical and chemical properties of the different mixtures is very important in this paper, • There is no chemical analysis of the cucumber leaf, while this paper ranked as plant nutrition paper. Even in discussion the authors mentioned the role of the nutrient content of different organic compost without have any data about the nutrient analysis of them plants or different compost treatments.	The Reviewer's comments on this column have been attended to in the main text (See corrections in yellow ink).
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	• Change ((P = .05) to (P < 0.05) in the whole paper • I recommend using four rigid in the whole tables, more than four rigid is not important.	P = .05 and P values without "0" before the decimal points were used in accordance with "Sciencedomain; SDI" adopted format.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	• Some numbers don't have "0" before the decimal point.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		