



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_11027
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Jatropha Seed Cake (JSC) and Different Inorganic Fertilizers on Growth and Yield of Two Groundnut Cultivars Under Three Harvesting Time
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Fonts and formats not uniform from abstract to the body of paper including references. Some portions bolded (Summary and conclusion) while others are not. Be consistent 2. Spelling errors: its Jatrophia not Jateropha 3. What classes of seeds were these: Foundation or certified seeds. 4. Again what botanical classifications were these seeds Spanish, Valencia or Virginia. 5. What are their research determined maturity dates? I would appreciate this presented in a tabular form in the materials and methods section 6. Before the trial, was soil analyses done to determine the nutrient levels at the trial site? If so present the data or reference 7. It would also be of help if the average annual rainfall for 1sts and 2nd season is mentioned in the materials and methods section 8. What crop was planted in those fields before this trial? Were they fertilized or non-fertilized? This is because groundnuts respond well to residual fertilizer that direct application. If they were under fallow or non fertilized than soil analysis test would inform the decision of fertilizer application and the types used 9. Was standard agronomic and crop protection practices (weeding, diseases and pests control, etc) followed? If so what are they?	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Fonts and formats not uniform from abstract to the body of paper	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Spelling and grammar check	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	National Semi-Arid Research Resources Institute, Uganda