

Author's Feedback against Editorial comment:

With reference to our previous correspondence, I send as an attachment the checked manuscript entitled **"Nitrogen (N) and Phosphorus (P) Fertilizer Application on Maize (Zea mays L.) Growth and Yield Performance at Ado-Ekiti, Southwest Nigeria"** (2014 AJEA 12254).

Despite of the review process, there are a lot of technical mistakes in the manuscript.

The results presented in the tables have not been sufficiently described.

Each tables were described separately according to the suggestion of the first reviewer

Some data are presented twice (in tables and in the text).

Data that were presented twice has been removed and restricted to Table 1 alone with explanation.

In some places description of the results is not in accordance to the data presented in tables (see my remarks in the attached manuscript.

All the remarks in the manuscript has been effected in the revised manuscript

References have been prepared not in accordance with the AJEA guide for authors (it concerns positions: 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15, 19, 20, 21, 23, 25, 26, 28, 29, 30, 32, 35, 37).

The references you mentioned and others has been effected accordance with the AJEA guide for authors in the revised manuscript

Abstract has been prepared in a manner not consistent with the instructions for authors of AJEA. Abstract was written in accordance with the instructions for authors. Also, I make sure that I read through some of the published papers in AJEA most especially the recent ones e.g O. Dania et al 2014. Residual Effect of Phosphorus Fertilizer on Yield of Pigeon Pea (*Cajanas cajan*) in Ultisol. *American Journal of Experimental Agriculture* 4(12): 1783-1792, 2014

Authors' contributions should be added.

The study was designed, analyzed and discussed by the author. The author takes full responsibility for the whole study including data collation, manuscript drafting and editing.

However, the manuscript shows interesting and important results. In my opinion, it is justified to consider its re-evaluation after the improvement of current weaknesses.