



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_12592
Title of the Manuscript:	MILK Production and Milk Supply Chain in Peri-urban Areas of Jhang Pakistan

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
General comment The comments which are meant to improve the quality of the paper. Please check. For example from my previous comment, I indicated that the problem statement and research gap is not stated and <u>the manuscript lacks objective in the introduction part</u>. After revision by authors, there are no changes made. In addition, further efforts are required to improve the quality of the manuscript, following the guide for authors. Consistency in writing is missing: for example look at these words taken from the document (highlighted in green in the manuscript): peri urban, peri-urban, Peri urban, Peri-urban, per-urban. Hence, go through out the document for more editorial work on consistency, language, spelling, spacing, formatting, etc. Specific comments Title: I agree with the proposed new title (Milk Production....). Accordingly, the contents of the manuscript should be arranged. For example on the abstract (Line 13) and key words (Line 23): livestock should be replaced by milk. Abstract: major results of the study are not indicated, for example results of the milk supply chain are not indicated in the abstract section. Results and discussion: lack of logical flow, literature review preceding the result of the current study, Tables 2 and 3 are not linked to the text. While putting your data in the tables, put the data either in descending or ascending order. The discussion is quite superficial. Not detailed. Commented before. See the word document for the details. On Line 163-4, to which poorer rural community do the lines refer? Rural system is not your target system. Conclusion and recommendation: Check how it is written. Take if that is ok. Reference writing/citation: lacking consistency. On how to write references see these examples: At the end of sentence: (Ahmed <i>et al.</i>, 2003) or At the beginning of a sentence: According to Ahmed <i>et al.</i> (2003), ... Reference: Include SPSS and content analysis technique into the reference section. See the word document for visualizing all the comments indicated above and others.	In the revised paper, problem statement and objectives have been mentioned. Format of word peri urban now same in complete manuscript. Live stock now replaced with milk Results regarding the milk supply chain now included in the abstract Data in the table formatted to the logical sequence in this revised paper. Corrections have been done. I have to recheck conclusion and recommendation and take necessary amendments Corrected according to the format SPSS is the part of references and content analysis is the part of methodology