



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJAEES_13538
Title of the Manuscript:	A PROBIT ANALYSIS OF COTTON FARMERS' ACCESSIBILITY TO CREDIT IN NORTHERN GUINEA SAVANNAH OF NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The Title should be: PROBIT ANALYSIS OF COTTON FARMERS' ACCESSIBILITY TO CREDIT IN NORTHERN GUINEA SAVANNAH OF NIGERIA - The comments on the Abstract should be followed. - The "et al." in all the cited references in the text e.g. "Omonona et al., 2008" should be in italic - Omonona <i>et al.</i>, 2008 - The following references where cited on the text but are not listed in the References – Jaime, 2006; Ochagla, 2004; Mayong <i>et al.</i>, 2005 and World Bank, 2001. - Attend to: Line 65, geographically be replaced with geographical; Line 67, lively-hood be replaced with livelihood; Line 73, in the bracket, replace "all" with "who are the"; Line 74, "All members" with "These" - In Table 1; result on Gender implies that all the respondents are male; the Description on Education is different from what is used in the model; on Household size the standard deviation is beyond the mean value. - In Table 2; the result on Farming Experience is with value too large beyond the reach of the respondents; the value in Off-farm income is negative and too minute to be part of the income of the respondents. - The discussion on the top of Table 3 is not relevant to the results presented in the Table.	All corrections have been effected as pointed and the corrected areas are highlighted in yellow. Referencing in citations and list are made according to the format (based on SDI paper template)



SDI Review Form 1.6

	- On the References, arrange the citations in alphabetical order (a – z); write the journals in italics and in full.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		