



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	2013_AJAEES_7480
Title of the Manuscript:	MIDENO'S SCHEMES AS AGENT OF RURAL AGRICULTURAL DEVELOPMENT: THE CASE OF MAIZE PRODUCTION IN MEZAM DIVISION IN CAMEROON
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. The socio-economic profile of the study area is missing. 2. Explain how the recommended production ecological approach of the promising farming systems does match the available and potential agricultural resources and how it does fit in the MIDENO's agricultural schemes. 3. What is about the farm size? Does the considered scheme come in line with targeted objectives apart from the farm size? 4. MIDENO's agricultural schemes don't address the agricultural process within the framework of agribusiness which is of fundamental value for the project. This should take place within the concept of the agribusiness. Otherwise there is no impact of such schemes and models.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. What is about the political will to assure such objectives?	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	2. Though it is a controversial matter in the literature, it is recommended to tackle the some theoretical issues of the used Cobb-Douglas production function regarding assumed existence of constancy of time because the theoretical reason for the assumed constancy of the production elasticity of labour and capital is missing due to the continuing change of technology adapted in this model.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Arab American University, Palestine