



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	2013_AJAEES_7480
Title of the Manuscript:	MIDENO'S SCHEMES AS AGENT OF RURAL AGRICULTURAL DEVELOPMENT: THE CASE OF MAIZE PRODUCTION IN MEZAM DIVISION IN CAMEROON
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Line 27 one of the crops (as it was written in the abstract) Line 46 it is written she. Is really she? Line 294 I miss the technical assistance/rural extension programs variable in the estimation equation. Why is it not present in the estimation? I think it is necessary to mention its omission.(why you have not used it). Line 428 These centres created did not do this kind of assistance? “The present government project of training a new generation for farmers via the 34 training centres created in rural and urban areas of Cameroon may be unsustainable if it is not research driven just like the present day IRAD which is facing out due to its low level of research orientation and inadequate funding. 429”	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Universidade de Brasília, Brazil