



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJAEES_14893
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of the Quality of Life of the Pensioners in the Jiu Valley Romania - results obtained through focus-group method
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	-Write abstract in continuous pros (new changes to APA style) - Check grammatical tense errors and rectify in abstract i.e. the tense (i.e. Using this method was performed in order to obtain information about the area, about the research topic and prepare quantitative data collection (through the questionnaire) ; also use the qualitative methods helped us to refine the research instrument used to collect quantitative data (choice questions and improve their formulation) and in testing the questionnaire developed .) - -	I corrected the grammar mistakes in the Abstract and reformatted the idea concerning the technique I used in study-focus group technique. I have also mapped out the main conclusion of the detached research.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	-The paper presents current and real issues in pension and economic balance -Highly solid of literature -To be published once the minor corrections are done	