



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJAEES_13759
Title of the Manuscript:	Constraints to Increasing Soybean Production and Productivity in Benue State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Lines 129-132 should be in Materials and Methods and greatly expanded to discuss how Rotated Factor Matrix is used in the manuscript. Are negative numbers irrelevant and the absolute values are important? As such: Lines 135 to 136, a factor with -0.615 is discussed first and on Line 137 a factor with 0.522 is next. Or should factors be discussed from numbers approaching -1 to 1? If so, shouldn't the factor -0.425 be next after factor -0.615? Why are only 6 factors discussed for Marketing and Production problems, but only 2 factors for Linkage problems? Factors with a value less than 0.489 were discussed in Marketing and Production problems, but Linkage problem discussion stops at 0.522, why?	This has been done Factors are discussed based on how high they have loaded.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	See comments on the manuscript.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Well written, but more detail is needed in some areas to clarify the language and methods.	Details have been provided.