



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJAEES_12701
Title of the Manuscript:	Development & Evaluation of Amylase Rich Malted Mixes (ARMMS) to Improve the Nutritional & Health Status of Pre School Children
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	It has been a pleasure reading this impressive and very well-presented work, I came to the conclusion that it is a greatly original contribution to be published in this journal. However, there are minor issues that preclude the acceptance for publication. 1) The manuscript should be checked by an English reader to perform the language. 2) The abstract should be rewritten, it contain many miswritten sentences. 4) The number of replicates is very important because without this information it is impossible to know the representativeness of the experiment. All values must be presented as mean $\pm$ SD from triplicate determinations. For this reason, some data should be repeated and confirmed as suggested. 5) All results must be statistically analyzed and significant differences must be added in the text. Statistical analysis of the presented results must be revised carefully. 6) Conclusion should be revised carefully and rewritten.	Yes attended Yes Attended We have taken average of triplicate .Now to get the original values is difficult, after my retirement it was discarded. Yes.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		