



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJAEES_13539
Title of the Manuscript:	DETERMINANTS OF POVERTY AMONG GROUNDNUT FARMING HOUSEHOLDS IN JIGAWA STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	• Addition of additional reference • Check on the population density repletion of the year 1994 • Need for more plausible explanation as one would expect increased wealth (money) would facilitate offsetting farming costs, opening more land, buying quality seeds all of these would increase production and productivity. And I would expect such farmer to break even (Line 190-192) • The Tobit Regression Model equation not clear. Upload or fill in a clearer one • Extension contact was found to be positive and significant at 5% (182-189). Trained extension staff would be expected to communicate the attendant technology well. Probably, the farmers' awareness are not translated into actual performance (adoption of the technology. Kindly refer to Okello et. al., 2014 who found similar trend)	All corrections have been effected as pointed out. Corrected areas are highlighted in yellow. The credit variable was insignificant in the result. So I thought it should not be a variable of much concern. We could not access the publication- Okello et al., 2014.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	I would have loved to see these points in the dataset as characters to be measured • Data on varieties grown: Improved varieties are superior in productivity • Selling points: Farm gates prices are lower than town/urban prices • Who they sell to : Middle men always inflate prices	Most of these aspects have been captured in a different article (on impact assessment) which is under peer review at a moment. I feel it will be a repetition if included in this manuscript.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	• Any value addition: this is known to increase the selling price and command premium • Access to loans and finances: Wealth would offset farm operation costs, purchasing better inputs etc	Loan accessibility is described in Table 1.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		