



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	2014_AIR_15533
Title of the Manuscript:	MEASURING INNOVATION CULTURE: DEVELOPMENT AND VALIDATION OF A MULTIDIMENSIONAL QUESTIONNAIRE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	RCI-MQ Questionnaire in Appendix: question 4 – d) and f) answers are the same (i.e., “knowledge sharing”). This should be checked and corrected.	Done. There were a duplication in 4f. Thank you very much for identifying the mistake.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The language should be improved by the English speaking professional. There are several type writing mistakes (e.g., line 17, 19 – space is needed between words “thatit”, “asinnovtion”) that should be corrected before publication.	Done. We don't know why it happened, but know it has been solved.