



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	2014_AIR_15031
Title of the Manuscript:	A Study of Marine Sport Tourism Development in Island- Case by Jibei in Taiwan
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The English should be revised by a native speaker. Several grammatical mistakes are found. I'm afraid I did not understand the manuscript correctly due to wrong English. An example of grammatical mistakes. The last sentence of Abstract; "Based on the finding, this study not only <u>given</u> recommendations for local government units and tourism 17 industry, <u>and</u> but also for future research relevant suggestion." -> "Based on the finding, this study not only <u>give</u> recommendations for local government units and tourism 17 industry, but also for future research relevant suggestion."	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The paper will be improved if the authors added some information; (1) How many residents are employed in tourism industry (ex. the number of B & B / population in the island). (2) Photos that are used by some guide books (seashore, forest, hotels,...). (3) The map of Penghu Islands.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shiro Horiuchi
Department, University & Country	Yamagata University, Japan