



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Biotechnology Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_BBJ_13840
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of sulphur-based amino acids with or without formic acid on apparent nutrient digestibility and gut morphology of broiler birds
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>A. Title of the manuscript: Change the title of the manuscript as</p> <p>1. Effect of methionine and organic acid on apparent nutrient utilization and gut morphology of broiler chicken</p> <p>Effect of methionine and organic acids sulphur-based amino acids with or without formic acid on apparent nutrient utilization digestibility and gut morphology of broiler birds chicken</p> <p>B. Abstract section</p> <p>2. Use word , metabolizability' in place of digestibility because in the experiment, nutrients excretion in fecal and urine mixture were taken into account in metabolic trial.</p> <p>3. Use word' methionine and methionine hydroxy analogue in place of sulphur containing amino acids because there are also other amino acids (cystine, cystein and taurine) which contain sulphur.</p> <p>4. Denote the level of DI-methionine (0.12%) in abstract.</p> <p>5. Remove word' microbial load' and add " nutrient retention' in the key word section of abstract section, as microbial load</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>were not assess in the study.</p> <p>C. Material and method section</p> <p>6. Put Proximate analysis subheading before Intestinal morphology</p> <p>7. In table 1 and table 2 correct Metabolisable enenergy (Kcla/kg) as Metabolisable energy (kcal/kg)</p> <p>7. in table 1 and 2, put star (*) mark on Metabolisable energy and * should be explained as * Calculated value</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>In general, all typo error should corrected</p> <p>1. et al should be in italic</p> <p>2. Put sign of comma (,) before year in reference. eg. (Chot, 2009).</p> <p>3. If possible, combine table 1 and table 2 in one table</p> <p>4. In reference section, change pattern of reference of Hernadez and Ricke (Put year of publication followed by the name of journal)</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The article can be published after the corrections suggested	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pankaj Kumar Singh
Department, University & Country	Department of Animal Nutrition, Bihar Veterinary College, Bihar Agricultural University, India