

## LITERATURE SURVEY OF FLUE GAS TREATMENT UNIT FOR PACKAGED GASOLINE GENERATORS.

### ABSTRACT

A literature survey of Flue Gas Treatment Unit for Packaged Gasoline Generators is reported. Due to shortfall in power supply from National Grid of most developing nations, most businesses relied on package generators for electrical power supply for their economic activities. Flue gases containing CO, CO<sub>2</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> were being released into the environment by these fossil fired package generators. The CO is known to be detrimental to health of living beings and at the same time ozone layer depletive. On the other hand, the CO<sub>2</sub> has been contributing to the green house effects. These militating dangers/risks arising from the usage of these package generators strongly stimulated this study. The global position on legislation, regulation and control of the emission of flue gases into the atmosphere has been articulated. Results of in-depth investigations by researchers into the methods/techniques of capturing CO, CO<sub>2</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> from the flue gases have been highlighted. This study shows that incorporating the capturing techniques for CO, CO<sub>2</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> into the design and construction of the package gasoline generators will no doubt go a long way in keeping our environment healthy, safe and secured.

**KEY WORDS:** Flue Gas Treatment Unit, Emission Limit Values, Package Generators.

### INTRODUCTION

By the end of the 20<sup>th</sup> century it was widely accepted that carbon dioxide and several other gases are involved in physical and chemical processes in the earth's upper troposphere and stratosphere that may result in global climate change. Arrhenius in 1896 forecasted rising global temperatures as a result of fossil fuel combustion. So-called green house gases (GHG), most importantly Carbon Dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), Methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) and Nitrous Oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) trap the outgoing solar radiation that is reflected by the earth's surface, which leads to global warming.<sup>[1]</sup> Although the most abundant greenhouse gas in the atmosphere is water causing approximately 2/3 of the greenhouse effect, the result of increasing concentrations of GHGs that cause the other approximately 1/3 is referred to as the "enhanced greenhouse effect", or, since it is primarily the result of human activities, the "anthropogenic greenhouse effect".<sup>[1]</sup> Consequent on the foregoing therefore, various regulations have been put into place to arrest this green house effect.

In order for society to meet up with its energy demand, various forms of energy sources have been harnessed by mankind. Most of these power plants are fired by fossil fuels which give CO<sub>2</sub>, CO, SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub> as by-products of combustion amongst others. CO<sub>2</sub> in particular has been identified as the most important green house gas. Various efforts are being made and several actions are being taken by the global regulating bodies to control and limit the emission of CO<sub>2</sub> and other green house gases. These efforts that focus on design /capture concept are not extended to the gasoline package generators. In the developing countries, the package generators which are actually designed as standby generators now play the function of source of main power supply for businesses and homes. The attendant implications are that CO<sub>2</sub>, CO, SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> are being indiscriminately emitted into the environment to the detriment of health and global warming which could result from the greenhouse effect. Consequent on the foregoing some action plan is required to arrest this indiscriminate pollution of the environment arising from the gasoline package generators' emissions among others. This literature survey therefore seek to articulate the global legislation framework in place, research efforts and actions taken so far to control the emission of flue gases into the atmosphere. Here lies the justification for this review.

## THE PACKAGED GASOLINE GENERATOR AND FLUE GASES

Generators vary in size and complexity depending on rated output power and purpose. Generators could be classified according to source of energy hence we have thermal generators, geothermal generators, hydro generators and solar generators. Also generators could be classified based on the source of fuel: such generators include natural gas generators, gasoline generators, diesel generators, brown gas generators and wood gas generators among others. Such package generators are variously referred to as portable generators, portable electric generators, portable power generators, home standby generators, commercial generators and marine generators.<sup>[2]</sup> The power range for a variety of these package generators varies from about 800watts to 15 kilowatts.<sup>[2]</sup> These generators could be classified according to manufacturers and marketing outfit. A few of such names include: Honda, Yamaha, Norwall power systems, Binatone, Firman, Elemex and Tiger.

The energy and power delivery to the economies of the developing nations has been dwindling over the years. The quality of power generated, that is supply relative to demand has been on the decline, even in the face of new power stations coming on stream the National Grid. This short fall in meeting the National demand has been so severe that virtually all serious business concerns have to procure one form of generating set or the other in order to guarantee the smooth continuity of their businesses.<sup>[3]</sup>

The brand of these generators that are fossil fuel fired release flue gases into the environment. Flue Gas has been defined as gas that exits to the atmosphere via a flue which may be a pipe, channel or chimney for conveying combustion from a fireplace, oven, boiler or steam generator.<sup>[4]</sup> Flue gases are produced when coal, fuel oil, natural gas, wood or any other fuel is combusted in an industrial furnace or boiler, a steam generator in a fossil fuel power plant or other combustion sources.<sup>[4]</sup> These gases are treated as pollutants. Flues can be referred to as "stacks", and they may be found in the form of chimneys, ducts, or simple pipes. Large amount of flue gases are generated around the world on daily basis, with heavy industry and the power industry in particular being responsible for a huge percentage of the total generated. The contents of flue gases are quite variable. The medium being burned can contribute a number of different compounds, and the conditions under which combustion is occurring can also generate more or less emissions. Incomplete combustion at low temperatures or in poorly managed facilities, for example, tends to generate more pollution.<sup>[5]</sup>

Components of flue gases include: water vapor, carbon dioxide, nitrogen, particulates, oxygen, carbon monoxide, nitrogen oxide, hydrocarbons, and sulfur oxide. Some of these compounds are potentially harmful for the environment, making these gases an issue of concern among environmental advocates. Flue gases can also be hazardous for human health, as might occur if they were trapped in an air inversion which pinned them close to the ground for several days, forcing people in the area to inhale hazardous pollutants which could damage their lungs.<sup>[5]</sup>

There are a number of ways in which flue gases can be controlled, and the processes which produce flue gases are often heavily regulated to force emissions levels down. One of the best methods is to avoid generating them at all, either by using alternative technology, improving plant efficiency levels, or studying ways in which operating conditions could be improved to reduce the production of combustion by-products.<sup>[5]</sup> Gases which cannot be prevented can be trapped using filters and scrubbers which clean the air coming out of flues so that when it is released into the environment, it contains primarily harmless components. Scrubbing flue gases can even be profitable for a savvy company. For example, the food industry is willing to pay for purified carbon dioxide extracted from flue gases.<sup>[5]</sup>

## LEGISLATIONS/REGULATIONS ON POLLUTION

It is not possible to produce comparative tables with emission limit values for the two regions (that is, EU and USA) because emission limit values are defined in different ways. In the EU, values are defined in terms of mg/nm<sup>3</sup>, whereas In the US values are expressed as nanograms per joule heat input. For the EU, emission limit values are defined in Annex III-VII in the Directive 2001/80/EC on the limitation of emissions of certain pollutants into the air

from large combustion plants (the “LCP directive”). Emission limits are defined for SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub> and dust. Furthermore, there are provisions for combustion plants co-incinerating waste in Annex II of the directive 2000/76/EC of 4 December 2000 on the incineration of waste. For the USA, emission limit values related to combustion plants are given in Title 40 (Protection of Environment), Part 60 (Standards of Performance for New Stationary Sources) in subparts Da, Db, Dc and GG.

#### **S60.43 Standard for Sulfur Dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>)**

(a) On and after the date in which the performance test required to be conducted by S60.8 is completed, no owner or operator subject to the provision of this subpart shall cause to be discharged into the atmosphere from any affected facility any gases that contain SO<sub>2</sub> in excess of: (1) 340ng/J heat input (0.8lb/MMBtu) derived from liquid fossil fuel or liquid fossil fuel and wood. (2) 520 ng/J heat input (1.2 lb/MMBtu) derived from solid fossil fuel or solid fossil fuel and wood residue.

(b) When different fossil fuels are burned simultaneously in any combination, the applicable standard (in ng/J) shall be determined by proration using the formula:  

$$P_{SO_2} = [y(340)+z(520)]/(y+z)$$

Where P<sub>SO<sub>2</sub></sub> = prorated standard for SO<sub>2</sub> when burning different fuels simultaneously in ng/J heat input derived from all fossil fuels or from all fossil fuels and wood residue fired.

Y = percentage of total heat input derived from liquid fossil fuel and

Z = percentage of total heat input derived from solid fossil fuel.

#### **Sulfur Content of Liquid Fuels Directive 1999/32/EC on Reduction of Certain Liquid Fuels (26<sup>th</sup> April 1999).**

Sulfur content of gas oil not to exceed 0.1 %. Member states shall take all necessary steps to ensure that as from 1 January2003 within their territory heavy fuel oils are not used if their sulfur content exceeds 1.0% by mass. Alternatively, where the emissions of sulfur dioxide from the plant are less than equal to 1700mg/nm<sup>3</sup> at an Oxygen content in the flue gas of 3% by volume on a dry basis. The reference method adopted for determining the sulfur content shall be that determined by:

a) ISO Method 8754 (1992) and PrEN ISO 14596 for heavy oil and marine gas oil

b) EN Method 24260 (1987) ISO 8754 (1992) and PrEN ISO 14596 for gas oil.

The arbitration method will be PrEN ISO 14596. The statistical interpretation of the verification of the sulfur content of the gas oil used shall be carried out in accordance with ISO Standard 4259 (1992).<sup>[6]</sup>

#### **CHINA’S “TWELFTH FIVE-YEAR PLAN” ON AIR POLLUTION PREVENTION**

On 5<sup>th</sup> December 2012, Ministry of Environmental Protection (MEP), National Development and Reform Commission and Ministry of Finance jointly issued a “12<sup>th</sup> five-year plan on Air Pollution and Control in key regions” as approved by the China’s State Council.. The three key regions are: Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei, Yangtze River Delta and Pearl River Delta and 10 city clusters, involving 19 provincial level jurisdictions and 117 cities. These areas cover only 14% of the country’s land area, but accounts for nearly half (48%) of the country’s population, 71% of the nations GDP and 52% of the country’s coal consumption. The air pollutants emission level is 2.9 to 3.6 times higher than the nation’s average.

i) The Plan sets ambient concentration targets for the first time, thus:

TABLE 1. Ambient Concentration Targets

Ambient Air Quality	PM <sub>10</sub>	SO <sub>2</sub>	NO <sub>2</sub>	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	PM <sub>2.5</sub> (In 3 Key Regions)
Concentration Targets	10%	10%	7%	5%(Expected Target)	6%(Binding Target)

- ii) The Plan sets more ambitious emission targets in key regions than the national targets

TABLE 2. Emission Targets For Nation and Key Regions.

Total Emission Reduction Targets for:	SO <sub>2</sub>	NO <sub>x</sub>	Industrial PM
National Targets By 2015	8%	10%	No target
Targets in Key Regions By 2015	12%	13%	10%

If the plan is successfully implemented, it will lead to an estimated SO<sub>2</sub> emission reduction of 2.28million tons/year, NO<sub>x</sub> emission reduction of 1.48million tons/year and PM emission reduction of 1.48 million tons/year and VOCs emission reduction of 1.525 million tons/year. And it is expected to bring over \$317 Billion (2 trillion Yuan RMB) society benefit.<sup>[7]</sup>

#### RESEARCH EFFORTS OF MINIMIZING POLLUTION

Promoting effect of SO<sub>2</sub> on selective catalytic reduction (SCR) of NO by NH<sub>3</sub> over a CuO/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst sorbent has been investigated.<sup>[8]</sup> Transient experiment, x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) insitu Drift, NH<sub>3</sub>-TPD and temperature-programmed reduction (TPR) were used to evaluate the promoting mechanism. Ammonia oxidation experiments and TPR in H<sub>2</sub> suggest that sulfation of the catalyst sorbent weakens the catalyst's oxidation ability and inhibits NH<sub>3</sub> oxidation to NO in the process of SCR, which may be another main promoting effect of SO<sub>2</sub> on SCR.<sup>[8]</sup> Also, liquid-like nanoparticle organic hybrid materials (NOHMs) consisting of silica nanoparticles with a grafted polymetric canopy were synthesized. Because SO<sub>2</sub>, which is another acidic gas found in flue gas, would also interact with NOHMs, this study was designed to investigate its effect on CO<sub>2</sub> capture in NOHMs. In particular, CO<sub>2</sub> capture capacities as well as swelling and CO<sub>2</sub> packing behaviours of NOHMs were analyzed using thermogravimetric analysis and RAMAN and attenuated total reflectance (ATR) Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopes before and after exposure of NOHMs to SO<sub>2</sub>. It was found that the SO<sub>2</sub> absorption in NOHMs was only prominent at high SO<sub>2</sub> levels (i.e 3010 PPM; P<sub>Tot</sub> = 0.4mpa far exceeding the typical SO<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> for the same absorption sites (i.e ether and amine groups) resulted in a decreased CO<sub>2</sub> captured capacity of NOHMs.<sup>[9]</sup> on the other hand, CO<sub>2</sub> capture and storage (CCS) has the potential to develop into an important tool to address climate change. Given society's reliance on fossil fuels, widespread adoption of CCS appears indispensable for meeting stringent climate targets need to be complemented with air capture, removing CO<sub>2</sub> directly from the atmosphere. The only feasible techniques involve either absorption or adsorption on a sorbent. With such techniques, energy is required only to regenerate the sorbent. This regeneration process operates on the sorbent mass, which scales with the mass of the CO<sub>2</sub> captured rather than the much larger mass of the air. Carbon-free renewable and nuclear energy resources are theoretically sufficient for human kind's energy needs. It is unclear however whether these resources can be deployed rapidly and widely enough to overcome socio-political obstacles related to costs, environmental impact and public acceptance. Point-source CO<sub>2</sub> capture and storage (CCS) allows for continued use of fossil fuels in power plants and in steel and cement production while largely eliminating their CO<sub>2</sub> emissions.<sup>[10]</sup> The removal of CO<sub>2</sub> from flue gases is done with the desire to reduce greenhouse emissions among other factors. The Econoamine FG Process is one of the plants that recovers CO<sub>2</sub> from flue gases, using an inhibited 30weight percent of Monoethanolamine (MEA) solution. It recovers 85-95% of the CO<sub>2</sub> in the flue gas. The only commercial absorbents active enough for recovery of dilute CO<sub>2</sub> from atmospheric pressure gas are monoethanolamine and other primary amines.<sup>[11]</sup>

In similar vein, an experimental study on NO<sub>x</sub> removal from a simulated diesel engine was carried out using dielectric barrier discharge (DBD) reactor for various electrode shapes to improve the removal efficiency. The electrode shapes employed in the experiment were a plane, a trench and multipoint configurations. The 0.5mm thickness of alumina (Al/sub2/O/sub3/) dielectric barrier was produced on a plane electrode via a powder flame spray. The DBD reactor with multipoint electrodes was applied to 20KVA diesel engine

generator exhaust gas treatment. The contained  $\text{NO}_x = (\text{NO} + \text{NO}_2)$  was abated from 70 to 40 ppm at no load to the generator and from 340 to 300 ppm at 50A load. The energy for  $\text{NO}_x$  removal is obtained to 32g/KWh.<sup>[12]</sup>

In another development, atmospheric  $\text{O}_3$ , CO,  $\text{SO}_2$  and  $\text{NO}_y^*$  were measured at a rural agricultural site in the Yangtze Delta of China.<sup>[13]</sup> The ratio of CO to  $\text{NO}_y^*$  was 36 (ppbv/ppbv) for the winter and night time measurements. Value for industrialized countries~(10ppbv/ppbv). Where  $\text{NO}_y^*$  is the sum of NO,  $\text{NO}_2$ , PAN, Organic Nitrates,  $\text{HNO}_3$ , and  $\text{N}_2\text{O}_5$ . The highest value for the ratio of CO to  $\text{NO}_y^*$  was 30–40ppbv/ppbv and occurred in September-December 1999 and June 2000. The good correlation between CO and the biomass burning tracer  $\text{CH}_3\text{Cl}$  and the lack of correlation with the industrial tracer  $\text{C}_2\text{Cl}_4$  suggest that the burning of biofuels and crop residues is a major source for the elevated CO and possibly for other tracer gases as well.  $\text{SO}_2$  to  $\text{NO}_y^*$  ratio was 1.37ppbv/ppbv. High value of ratio is as a result of use of high sulfur coals in China. The measured  $\text{SO}_2$  to  $\text{NO}_y^*$  ratio and CO to  $\text{NO}_y^*$  ratio were compared with the respective ratios from the current emission inventories for the region, which indicated a comparable  $\text{SO}_2$  to  $\text{NO}_y^*$  emission ratio, but a large discrepancy for CO to  $\text{NO}_x$  ratio. The observed CO to  $\text{NO}_y^*$  ratio was more than 3 times the emission ratio derived from inventories.<sup>[13]</sup>

Also, large eddy simulation (LES) of the gas-second order moment (SOM) of particles model based on the two fluid method coupled with the subgrid-scale (SGS) reaction submodel was applied to investigate  $\text{SO}_2$  and  $\text{NO}_x$  emissions circulating fluidized bed (CFB) combustors. The SGS reaction submodel based on the eddy dissipation concept (EDC) model was developed considering the effect of particles to circulate the SGS reaction rates. The predicted results by the model are in agreement with the experimental data. The flow characteristics including concentration and SOM of particles were analyzed. The simulation results show that the particles including coal and desulfurized particles were sufficiently mixed. The velocity fluctuation of particles was enhanced for the combustion process, and the velocity fluctuation in the axial direction was about twice higher than that in the radial direction. It was observed that the SGS reaction of gas not only improved the homogenous reaction of gas but the heterogeneous reaction also.<sup>[14]</sup>

In another investigation, Iron oxide supported on alumina as a catalyst/absorbent for use in the simultaneous removal of  $\text{NO}_x$  and  $\text{SO}_x$  from power plant stack gases is reported. A dry-contacting process underdevelopment would operate under net reducing conditions at temperatures of 370<sup>o</sup> to 540<sup>o</sup>C. Iron oxide is converted to the ferrous state. NO is reduced to  $\text{NH}_3$  and  $\text{SO}_2$  is removed as ferrous sulfide or sulfate. Regeneration with air provides  $\text{SO}_2$  and reforms  $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ . The reduction of  $\text{SO}_2$  by CO and  $\text{H}_2$  was studied in fixed bed reactors to determine the effects of temperature and of the other reactive components of flue gas except (flyash) on the rate of reaction and the products formed.  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  and COS react with FeO to form FeS. Under readily attainable conditions, virtually complete removal of sulfur compounds was achieved for gas-phase residence times of about 1 to 10ms. NO and  $\text{NO}_2$  were also reduced.<sup>[15]</sup>

On a similar note, Iron titanate catalyst ( $\text{FeTiO}_4$ ) is a potential candidate for the substitution of conventional  $\text{V}_2\text{O}_5\text{-WO}_3(\text{M}_b\text{O}_3)/\text{T}_i\text{O}_2$  and  $\text{Fe/Cu}$ -Zeolite catalysts for the selective catalytic reduction (SCR) of  $\text{NO}_x$  with  $\text{NH}_3$  because of its high SCR activity and  $\text{N}_2$ .<sup>[16]</sup> Also, an Iron titanate catalyst with a crystalline phase, prepared by a co-precipitation method showed excellent activity, stability, selectivity and  $\text{SO}_2/\text{H}_2\text{O}$  durability in the selective catalytic reduction of NO with  $\text{NH}_3$  in the medium temperature range.<sup>[17]</sup> Furthermore, a cyclic process is described for removing the oxides of Sulfur from flue gas by absorption at 625<sup>o</sup>F and then converting the oxides to elemental sulfur by reduction of the spent absorbent. Pilot plant experiments are reported in which a solid absorbent of alkalized alumina in free and baffled fall is used to remove  $\text{SO}_2$  and  $\text{SO}_3$  from the combustion gas of a pulverized coal-fired furnace. The absorbent is then regenerated by heating with hydrogen or with steam-reformed natural gas. Fly ash does not interfere and attrition is negligible.<sup>[18]</sup> On the other hand, the effectiveness of limestone and dolomite in removing  $\text{SO}_2$  from flue gas can be



estimated by thermo-chemical reactions. Both lime and magnesia are shown to be suitable for removing  $\text{SO}_2$  from hot flue gas, with lime more suitable than magnesia over the temperature range where furnace conditions and reaction kinetics are likely to be favorable.<sup>[19]</sup> on the other hand, one of the most important steps in the wet limestone gypsum flue gas desulfurization (WFGD) process is  $\text{CaCO}_3$  dissolution, which provides the dissolved alkalinity necessary for  $\text{SO}_2$  absorption. Accurately evaluating the  $\text{CaCO}_3$  dissolution rate is important in the design and efficient operation of WFGD plants. In the present work, the dissolution of limestone from different sources in South Africa has been studied in a pH-stat apparatus under conditions similar to those encountered in wet FGD processes. The influence of various parameters such as the reaction temperature, ( $30 \leq T \leq 70^\circ\text{C}$ ),  $\text{CaCO}_3$  particle size ( $25 \leq d_p \leq 63\mu\text{m}$ ), solution acidity ( $4 \leq \text{pH} \leq 6$ ) and chemical composition were studied in order to determine the kinetics of  $\text{CaCO}_3$  dissolution. The results obtained indicate that the dissolution rate increased with a decrease in the particle size and increase in temperature.<sup>[20]</sup> The industrial flue gas treatment systems and services market is growing at a significant rate, driven by the stringent regulations in developed countries and the rising demand for electricity in the emerging economies. Pollution control can be segmented into: flue gas desulfurization,  $\text{D}_e\text{NO}_x$ , particulate control, mercury control and others (VOCs, dioxins, HCl and HF). The enforcement of federal laws and regulations that mandate the industries to install pollution control equipment have spurred the growth of industrial flue gas treatment systems.<sup>[21]</sup>

In a similar vein, the Global and China flue gas desulfurization system industry is reported. The report firstly introduced flue gas desulfurization basic information included flue gas desulfurization, definition, classification and application among others. It also listed flue gas desulfurization upstream raw materials and downstream analysis and flue gas desulfurization marketing channels.<sup>[22]</sup>

Furthermore, the suitability of a mathematical model for circulating fluidized bed (CFB) coal combustion was investigated. The model predicted the development of tar and other hydrocarbons valuating the agreement between the measured and calculated efficiency.<sup>[23]</sup>

In another development, the comprehensive test results of an ultra-micro Gas Turbo-Generator fed by a mixture of butane and propane gas at the University of Roma was reported. Key indicators of test are: Turbo-Generator rated power ( $\sim 10 \text{ KW}$ ); compressor efficiency ( $0.52 \sim 0.77$ ); fuel consumption ( $0.0015 \sim 0.010\text{kg/s}$ ) and turbine inlet temperature ( $858 \sim 1137\text{K}$ ). The key limitation was the high fuel consumption which required optimization of the machine in order to reduce the fuel consumption and increase the bearings life time.<sup>[24]</sup> Also, a management protocol for electrical and thermal loads in housing scheme equipped with co-generative unit and renewable system has been described. This protocol optimally manages the instant power generation from co-generative and renewable devices.<sup>[25]</sup> A performance report is documented on the economic, technical and environmental feasibility tests on running a 20MW diesel generator on vegetable oil (palm oil). The main constraint identified in this report is the availability and cost of the vegetable (palm) oil.<sup>[26]</sup> The Malaysian AM cash market prices for palm oil stood at US \$612 per metric Tonne.<sup>[27]</sup> Also global petrol price in USA stood at US\$0.97 per litre, UK at US\$2.18 and Nigeria at US\$0.58 per litre respectively.<sup>[28]</sup> In the same vein World market gas prices averaged US\$3.41 per gallon.<sup>[29]</sup> While Butane gas is priced £16.75 (or \$27.3) per 4.5kg cylinder and Propane gas £16 (or \$26.08) per 3.9kg cylinder.<sup>[30]</sup> Also, gasoline consumption for a 10KVA package gasoline generator stands at 18 litres in 4.5 hours.<sup>[31]</sup>

310  
311

**SUMMARY OF LITERATURE REVIEW**  
**Table 3: Summary of Legislation/ Regulation Review.**

EMISSION LIMIT VALUES (ELV)		EU mg/NM <sup>3</sup>	USA ng/J Heat	CHINA ppbv/ppbv
	ELV defined in	Annex III-VII in the Directive 2001/80/EC. Directive 1999/32/EC	Title 40 Part 60 Subparts Da, Db, Dc and GG.	"12 <sup>TH</sup> 5-year Plan" on Pollution Prevention
SO <sub>2</sub>	Liquid fossil fuel	0.1 by mass	340	10%. National target 2015 = 8%. Target region = 12%
	Solid fossil fuel	1.0% for heavy fuel oils Or for $\leq 1700\text{mg/Nm}^3$ @O <sub>2</sub> content in flue gas of 3% by volume.	520	
	Combined	For heavy oil and marine gas oil: ISO method 8754 (1992) For gas oil; EN 2426O (1987)	P <sub>SO2</sub> (see equation 1)	
	NO <sub>2</sub>			7% National target 2015 = 10% Target region = 13%

312 SOURCE: Summary Of Legislation/Regulation Review above.

313  
314  
315

**Table 4. Summary of Capture Methods From Flue Gas**

S/No.	COMPONENTS	REDUCTION METHOD	MATERIALS	AUTHOR'S REFERENCE NUMBER
1	SO <sub>2</sub>	Ambient content measured at rural agricultural site	Gas analyzers	[13]
2		Large eddy simulation (LES), Gas-second order moment (SOM) and CFB combustors coupled with sub-grid scale (SGS). (two-fluid method)	Coal and desulfurized particles	[14]
3		A dry contacting process for simultaneous removal of NO <sub>x</sub> and SO <sub>x</sub> from	Iron oxide supported on alumina as catalyst	[15]

		plant stack.		
4		Cyclic process of removing SO <sub>2</sub> and SO <sub>3</sub> by absorption	Absorbent, alkalized alumina in free and baffle fall.	[18]
5		Thermo-chemical reactions using limestone and dolomite	Limestone, dolomite and magnesia	[19]
6		Limestone gypsum absorption	limestone	[20]
7	NO <sub>x</sub>	Selective catalytic reduction (SCR) presence of SO <sub>2</sub> weakens NH <sub>3</sub> oxidation to NO	NH <sub>3</sub>	[8]
8		Dielectric barrier discharge (DBD) reactor applied to 20KVA diesel engine generator	Al/sub2/O/sub3/ Alumina dielectric barrier	[12]
9		Ambient content measured at rural agricultural site	Gas analyzers	[13]
10		Large eddy simulation (LES) gas-second order moment (SOM) and CFB combustors coupled with sub-grid (SGS). (two-fluid method)	Coal and desulfurized particles	[14]
11		A dry-contacting process for simultaneous removal of NO <sub>x</sub> and SO <sub>x</sub> from plant stack.	Iron oxide supported on alumina as catalyst	[15]
12		Iron titanate catalyst+V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> -WO <sub>3</sub> and Fe/Cu-Zeolite catalyst for SCR of NO <sub>x</sub> with NH <sub>3</sub> .	Iron titanate, Zeolite and NH <sub>3</sub>	[16]
13		Catalytic reduction of NO with NH <sub>3</sub>	SO <sub>2</sub> /H <sub>2</sub> O. iron titanate as catalyst.	[17]
14	CO <sub>2</sub>	Nanoparticle organic Hybrid materials (NOHMs) absorption of CO <sub>2</sub> was affected by the presence of SO <sub>2</sub> in the flue gas, that is reduction in CO <sub>2</sub> capture.	Nanoparticle organic Hybrid materials (NOHMs)	[9]
15		Absorption or adsorption	sorbent	[10]
16		Econoamine FG (Absorbents)	MEA	[11]
17	CO	Ambient content measured at rural agricultural site	Biomass burning tracer, CH <sub>3</sub> Cl; Industrial tracer,	[13]



			C <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>4</sub> .	
--	--	--	----------------------------------	--

SOURCE: Summary from literature review above.

**TABLE 5. Alternative/Enhancement Sources to Gasoline Generators**

S/No.	ALTERNATIVE/ENHANCEMENT SOURCES TO GASOLINE GENRATORS	FUEL	LIMITATIONS	REFERENCE
1	Micro Gas Turbo Generator at University of Roma	Mixture of Butane and Propane gas	High fuel consumption	24
2	20MW Diesel Generator test	Vegetable (Palm) oil	Cost and availability	26
3	Mathematical Modeling of Biomass Gasification	coal	Flue gas treatment not squarely addressed as primary objective	23
4	Power Quality Management	-	Addressed the efficiency in power usage but silent on flue gas managment	25

SOURCE: SUMMARY FROM LITERATURE REVIEW ABOVE

**TABLE 6. Fuel Prices**

S/No.	FUEL	PRICE	REFERENCE
1	Gasoline	USA = \$0.97/Litre; UK = \$2.18/Litre and Nigeria = \$0.58/Litre.	[28]
2	gas	US\$3.41 per gallon	[29]
3	Palm oil (vegetable oil)	US\$612 per Metric Tonne	[27]
4	Butane Gas Propane Gas	4.5kg = £16.75 (or \$27.3) 3.9kg = £16 (or \$26.08)	[30]

SOURCE: SUMMARY FROM LITERATURE REVIEW ABOVE

#### RELATING RESEARCH EFFORTS TO LEGISLATION

Legislations, Standards and Regulations from the EU, USA and China as detailed in Table 3 above are quite comprehensive in the regulating and control of flue gas emissions into the atmosphere. On the other hand, the research and investigations into the process, methodology and strategy for the removal of SO<sub>x</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub>, CO and CO<sub>2</sub> from flue gases as detailed in Table 4 has been thorough, detailed and thought provoking. References [8] to [20] have demonstrated various ways in capturing SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub>, CO and CO<sub>2</sub> from flue gases. On the other hand, Reference [24] addressed mathematical model of biomass gasification while Reference [26] investigated Power Quality Management Protocol in residential buildings with co-generative capability, with the view of optimization of power usage. This report will be of immense benefit to developing economies that are grappling and tinkering with the possibility of providing adequate power. In another development, References [24] and [26] investigated alternative fuel regime (that is butane + propane gas and palm oil respectively) and generation methods to gasoline generators. According to Reference [24], the fuel (that is butane + Propane)

consumption by the 10KW micro gas turbo generator at Roma = 0.01kg/s, that is  
 $0.01 \times 60 \times 60 \text{ kg/hr} = 36 \text{ kg/hr}$ .  
From Table 6, 4.5kg of Butane = US\$27.3.  
Therefore 0.5kg of Butane =  $(27.3/4.5) \times 0.5 = \text{US\$}3.03$   
Therefore 0.5kg of Propane =  $(26.08/3.9) \times 0.5 = \text{US\$}3.34$ .  
Hence 1kg of gas (that is 0.5kg Butane + 0.5kg Propane) =  $\text{US\$}3.03 + \text{US\$}3.34 = \text{US\$}6.37$ .  
But the 10KW micro turbo generator consumes 36kg of mixed gas per hour. Hence the  
cost of running the 10KW micro turbo generator per hour =  $36 \times \text{US\$}6.37 = \text{US\$}229.32$ .  
On the other hand, according to Reference [31], a 10KVA package Gasoline Generator  
consumes 18litres of petrol in 4.5hours. This implies that this package generator  
consumes  $(18 \text{ litres})/4.5 \text{ hrs} = 4 \text{ litres/hr}$ .  
But from Table 6, Reference [28] posits that a litre of petrol in Nigeria cost US\$0.58.  
Hence the cost of running this 10KVA Package gasoline generator =  $4 \times \text{US\$}0.58 = \text{US\$}2.32$ .  
Comparing the US\$2.32/hr for running this 10KVA package gasoline generator with the  
US\$229.32/hr for running the 10KW micro turbo generator at Roma, this translates to a  
cost ratio of 1 to 98.85. The outcome of the Roma project is therefore a very inefficient  
result against the balance of economics and the background of already available  
operating generator efficiency in the global market. Consequent on the foregoing, the  
outcome of the Roma project will not be of immediate benefit as a substitute for package  
gasoline generators in the developing economies.  
On the other hand, according to Reference [26], the testing of 20MW Diesel Generator  
using vegetable oil (that is palm oil) as substitute has some merit. According to  
Reference [27] from Table 6, the price of palm oil is US\$612 per metric tonne. This is  
approximately US\$0.612 per litre. Also, according to Reference [29], the price of diesel  
is US\$3.41 per gallon. This is approximately US\$0.85 per litre. Since the price of palm  
oil is lower than that of diesel, it makes economic sense to investigate the viability of  
palm oil as a substitute fuel for the diesel generator. However, the price of petrol in  
Nigeria according to Reference [28] is US\$0.58 per litre. Against this backdrop, the use  
of palm oil as a substitute fuel in Nigeria will amount to a bad economic decision.

#### **WHAT FURTHER IMPROVEMENTS NEED TO BE DONE?**

From the foregoing, it is evident that much has been achieved in the Legislations, Regulation  
and Control of flue gases. Much of the emphasis has been directed to the large combustion  
plants (the "LCP directive"). The volume of research into the capture of  $\text{SO}_2$ ,  $\text{NO}_x$ , CO and  
 $\text{CO}_2$  from flue gas has been extensive. The implementation of the research outcomes have  
been so far directed mainly to the LCPs to the detriment of the package generators. The  
package generators will continue to be relevant in the scheme of economic and domestic  
activities in the developing economies in as much as the national power grids continue to be  
epileptic in their service delivery. Therefore, the attendant noise and flue (CO and  $\text{CO}_2$   
inclusive) pollution will be with the society for a long time to come. The immediate and urgent  
thing for now is to mitigate and embark on some control measures to limit this pollution to an  
acceptable statutory level. Hence the need is here now more than ever to incorporate the  
identified capture technique for  $\text{SO}_2$ ,  $\text{NO}_x$ , CO and  $\text{CO}_2$  into the package gasoline  
generators. Hence in the design and fabrication of the  $\text{SO}_2$ ,  $\text{NO}_x$ , CO and  $\text{CO}_2$  capture unit,  
emphasis should be placed on the absorption of the gases using either the wet or dry  
methods. Some form of sequencing should be done to align capturing process to discarding  
process in order to optimize the overall system. To facilitate the design and fabrication of the  
 $\text{SO}_2$ ,  $\text{NO}_x$ , CO and  $\text{CO}_2$  capture unit, the use of the analytical tool detailed below is being  
suggested thus:

## Analytical Tools

Basic hypothetical position is taken as:

Ho<sub>1</sub>- it is not likely that suitable capturing method for SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub>, CO and CO<sub>2</sub> in flue gas of gasoline package generator will be identified.

Ho<sub>2</sub> – it is not likely that a suitable and functional SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub>, CO and CO<sub>2</sub> capturing plant/unit will be designed/fabricated for the gasoline package generator.

Ho<sub>3</sub> - it is highly unlikely that the design for SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub>, CO and CO<sub>2</sub> capturing will be efficient and safe in usage.

The analytical tool to be used is the Multiple Regression Method (MRM) of the form:

$$Y = b_0 + b_1x_1 + b_2x_2 + b_3x_3 + b_4x_4 + b_5x_5 + u \quad (1)$$

Where x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>, x<sub>3</sub>, and x<sub>4</sub> represent captured SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub>, CO and CO<sub>2</sub> respectively, x<sub>5</sub> represents power generated and Y, the efficiency of the gasoline generator.

## Test Of Significance

### (A) t-TEST

To test the null hypotheses.

H<sub>0</sub>: b<sub>j</sub> = 0 (j = 0 to 5) against the alternative hypothesis.

H<sub>a</sub>: b<sub>j</sub> ≠ 0

If n < 30, we reject H<sub>0</sub> if

$$T = |b_j / S_{b_j}| > t_{\alpha/2, n-k-1} \quad (2)$$

### (B) F- STATISTICS TEST

The F- Statistics is defined as

$$F = [(Total\ Explained\ Variation)/K] / [(Total\ Unexplained\ Variation)/(n-k-1)]$$

Where k denotes the number of independent or explanatory variables in the regression and n the number of data observations. In terms of coefficient of determination, F- Statistics can be calculated as follows:

$$F = (R^2/K) / [(1 - R^2)/(n-k-1)] \quad (3)$$

The F- Statistic is used to test whether a significant proportion of the total variation in the independent variable has been explained by the estimated regression equation. The hypothesis actually being tested is that the dependent variable in a regression equation is statistically unrelated to all of the independent variable included in the model. If the hypothesis is true, the total explained variation in regression will be quite small or zero at extreme.

Thus, if F = F-Statistics from experimental results and

F<sub>α,k,n-k-1</sub> = Critical value of F – Statistics from F- Distribution Table,

If F – F<sub>α,k,n-k-1</sub> > 0, Reject the Null Hypothesis H<sub>0</sub> and accept the alternate Hypothesis.

If F- F<sub>α,k,n-k-1</sub> < 0, Accept the Null Hypothesis H<sub>0</sub>. (4)

The outcome of this investigation effort will keep the environment safer and put more money in the pockets of business units, as the environment becomes cleaner, neater and safer hence minimized environmental and pollution litigations fines and expenses. Also the health and medical bills will drop.

## CONCLUSION

The efforts and improvements made in the capture of SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub>, CO and CO<sub>2</sub> were never targeted at the gasoline package generators. This appears to be an oversight in the sense that the package generators in the first instance were not actually designed as full time generators. Rather, they were designed to serve as standby and emergency units. But the acute deficit of power supply to economic businesses in developing nations and coupled

441 with poor finances, have compelled the businesses to resort to usage of package  
 442 generators as main power source. This has led to ample generation of SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub>, CO and  
 443 CO<sub>2</sub>, the ODs and GHGs abundantly in the developing countries. Since the use of fossil fuel  
 444 will remain with us for a long time to come, the need therefore arises for improvement  
 445 action to be taken in minimizing the emission of the OD and GHGs from the package  
 446 generators into the environment. The impact of high concentration of CO, CO<sub>2</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub> and  
 447 NO<sub>x</sub> to human life is lethal and should not be treated with kid gloves. This severity index is  
 448 more than enough justification for action to be taken to minimize the attendant scenario  
 449 that leads to the over generation of these gases. The global position on legislation,  
 450 regulation and control of Emission Limit Values (ELV) of flue gases has been articulated  
 451 (that is EU, USA and China). Research efforts and investigations by some previous authors  
 452 on the capture of SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub>, CO and CO<sub>2</sub> from flue gases have been documented herein. The  
 453 suggested alternative sources of power as substitute for the package gasoline generator by  
 454 some of the researchers were found to be economically unviable. The review  
 455 acknowledged the hazard levels of SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub>, CO, and CO<sub>2</sub>. Currently, no package gasoline  
 456 generator is fitted with SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub>, CO and CO<sub>2</sub> capturing units. The incorporation of these  
 457 capturing methods in the package gasoline generators will go a long way in reducing the  
 458 pollution of the environment through flue gases. Analytical tools to support the  
 459 development and evaluation of the SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub>, CO, and CO<sub>2</sub> capturing unit has been  
 460 suggested herein. All efforts directed towards limiting the indiscriminate and uncontrolled  
 461 generation of, SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub>, CO and CO<sub>2</sub> is a welcome development.

462

## 463 REFERENCES

- 464 1. Zevenhoven R, Kilpinen. (2001). Green House Gases, Ozone –Depleting Gases.  
 465 [www.abo.fi/~rzevenho/gasbook.html](http://www.abo.fi/~rzevenho/gasbook.html)
- 466 2. Norwall. (2010) Norwall Power Systems.  
 467 <http://www.norwall.com/index.php?cpath=17..>
- 468 3. Okpighe, SO. (2009). The Effect of Engineering Safety Inspection On The Success of  
 469 The Power Holding Company of Nigeria's Rehabilitation Projects in the Journal of  
 470 Engineering and Design. Rosefelt Academic Publications. July 2009; 1(1)Vol. 1 No.  
 471 1.. Port Harcourt, Nigeria. [rap@gmail.com](mailto:rap@gmail.com)
- 472 4. Citizendium.(2010). Flue Gas---- Encyclopedia Article.  
 473 [http://en.Citizendium.org/wiki/Flue\\_gas..](http://en.Citizendium.org/wiki/Flue_gas..)
- 474 5. Wisegeek.(2010). What Are Flue Gases?..  
 475 <http://www.wisegeek.com/what-are-flue-gases.htm>.
- 476 6. Emission Limit Values (ELV): Combustion plants in EU and USA in Legislation on  
 477 Ambient Air Quality: EU and USA.  
 478 <http://europa.eu.int/comm/environment/air/legis.htm>  
 479 <http://ecfr.gpoaccess.gov/cgi/t/text-idx?c=ecfr&sid=474f779beade290997e4611971d-->
- 480 7. China Clean Air (2013). "Twelfth Five-Year Plan" on Air Pollution Prevention.  
 481 [www.epa.gov/ogc/china/air%20pollution.pdf](http://www.epa.gov/ogc/china/air%20pollution.pdf)

482

483

484

- 485 8. Xie G.; Liu Z.; Zhu Z.; Liu Q.; Ge J. and Huang Z. (2004). Simultaneous Removal of  
486 SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> from Flue Gas Using a CuO/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Catalyst Sorbent: II. Promotion of  
487 SCR Activity by SO<sub>2</sub> at High Temperatures in the Journal of Catalysis. 01/2004  
488 DOI:10.1016/j.jcat.2004.02.016.  
489 [www.researchgate.net/publications/222564682-simultaneous---](http://www.researchgate.net/publications/222564682-simultaneous---)  
490 9. Lin, K-Y.A; Petit, C. and Park A-H.A. (2013). *Effect of SO<sub>2</sub> on CO<sub>2</sub> Capture Using*  
491 *Liquid-like Nanoparticle Organic Hybrid Materials* in the Journal of Energy Fuels.  
492 May 2013, 27 (8), Pp.4167-4174. DOI:10.1021/ef400749.  
493 [www.Energy.columbia.edu/publications](http://www.Energy.columbia.edu/publications).  
494 American Chemical Society Publications (ACS).  
495 10. Lackner, K.S.; Brennan, S.; Matter, J.; Park, A-H.A.; Wright,A; and Van der Zwaan,  
496 BCC. (2012). The Urgency of the Development of CO<sub>2</sub> Capture From Ambient Air in  
497 the Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2012-07-27.  
498 [www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1108765109/-/DCSupplemental](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1108765109/-/DCSupplemental).  
499  
500 11. Chapel D, Ernest J and Mariz C. (1999). Recovery of CO<sub>2</sub> From Flue Gases:  
501 Commercial Trends in Conference Proceedings of Society of Chemical Engineers  
502 Annual Meeting. Canada. October 4 -6, 1999. Saskatoon, Saskatchewan.  
503 [www.canadiancleanpowercostition.com/pdf/As10%](http://www.canadiancleanpowercostition.com/pdf/As10%)  
504 [www.netl.doe.gov/publications/proceedings..](http://www.netl.doe.gov/publications/proceedings..)  
505 12. Takaki, K; Iwate, U.; Morioka, J; Shimizu, M.; Sasaki, T and Kato, S. (2003). *Flue*  
506 *Gas Treatment Using Dielectric Barrier Discharge With Multipoint Electrode* in the  
507 proceedings of 14<sup>th</sup> IEEE Pulsed Power Conference.Vol.1, Pp. 571-574. 15-18 June  
508 2003. Print ISBN: 0-7803-7915-2. INSPEC Accension Number 8529331.  
509 DOI:10.1109/ppc.2003.1277776. <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/>  
510 13. Wang T.; Cheung, T.F.; Li, Y.S.; Yu, X.M. and Blake D.R.(2002). *Emission*  
511 *Characteristics of CO, NO<sub>x</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub> And Indications of Biomass Burning Observed At*  
512 *a Rural Site in Eastern China* in the Journal of Geophysical Research:  
513 *Atmospheres*.1984-2012. Vol.107, Issue D12. 29 June 2002.  
514 DOI:10.1029/2001JD000724. ACH9-1-ACH-10.  
515 [http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/journal/10.1002/\(ISSN\)2169-8996](http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/journal/10.1002/(ISSN)2169-8996).  
516 14. Guagbin, Y.; Chen, J.; Li, J.; Hu, T.; Wang, S. and Lu, H. (2014). *Analysis of SO<sub>2</sub>*  
517 *And NO<sub>x</sub> Emissions Using The Two Fluid Methods Coupled With Eddy Dissipation*  
518 *Concept Reaction Submodel in Circulating Fluidized Bed Combustors* in the Journal  
519 of Energy and Fuels. 28(3), 2227-2235. February 2014. DOI:10.1021/ef402341p.  
520 American Chemical Society. <http://www.researchgate/>  
521 15. Clay D.T. and Lynn, S. (1975). *Reduction and Removal of SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> From*  
522 *Simulated Flue Gas Using Iron Oxide As Catalyst/Absorbent* in the Journal of  
523 American Institute of Chemical Engineers. Vol.21 Issue 3. Pp. 466-473. May 1975  
524 First published on line 17 June 2004. DOI:10.1002/aic.690210306  
525 16. Fudong L.; Asakura K.; He, H.; Shan, W.; Shi, X. and Zhang, C.(2011). *Influence of*  
526 *Sulfation on Iron Titanate Catalyst For the Selective Catalytic Reduction of NO<sub>x</sub> With*  
527 *NH<sub>3</sub> in the Medium Temperature Range* in the Journal of Applied Catalysis B-  
528 Environmental. January 2011. 103(3). 369-377. DOI:10.1016/j.apcatb.2011.01.044  
529 17. Fudong L.; He, H. and Zhang, C.(2008). *Novel Iron Titanate Catalyst for The*  
530 *Selective Catalytic Reduction of NO With NH<sub>3</sub> in The Medium Temperature Range*  
531 in the Journal of Chemical Communications. Issue 17. 20 June 2008.  
532 18. Bienstock, D.; Field, J.H. and Myers, J.G. (1964). *Discussion: "Removal of Sulfur*  
533 *Oxides From Flue Gas With Alkalized Alumina at Elevated Temperatures* in the  
534 ASME Journal of Engineering for Gas Turbines and Power. Vol. 86, Issue 3, Pp.  
535 353-358.1964. Online 10 January 2012. Doi:10.1115/13677603.  
536 <http://gasturbinespower.asmedigitalcollection.asme.org/article>.

- 537 19. Reid, W.T. (1970). *Basic Factors in The Capture of Sulfur Dioxide By Limestone*  
538 *and Dolomite in the ASME DC/ Journal of Engineering For Gas Turbines and Power.*  
539 92, Pp.11-16. [www.gasturbinespower.asmedigitalcollection.asme.org/article](http://www.gasturbinespower.asmedigitalcollection.asme.org/article).  
540 20. Siagi, Z.O. and Mbarawa, M. (2007). *Experimental Investigation of The SO<sub>2</sub>*  
541 *Abatement Capacity of South African Calcium-Based Materials—in the Proceedings*  
542 *of The ASME 2007 Energy Sustainability Conference, Long Beach, California. 27-*  
543 *30 July 2007. Pp. 121-126. ISBN; 0-7918-4797-7. eISBN: 0-7918-3798-x.*  
544 DOI:10.1115/ES2007-36141.  
545 [www.proceedings.asmedigitalcollection.asme.org/proceeding](http://www.proceedings.asmedigitalcollection.asme.org/proceeding)  
546 21. PRNNewswire (2014). Global Industrial Flue Gas Treatment Systems and Services.  
547 Dublin, 01 may 2014 [http://www.prnewswire.com/news-releases/global-industrial-](http://www.prnewswire.com/news-releases/global-industrial-flue-gas-treatment-systems-services-fgd-denox)  
548 [flue-gas-treatment-systems-services-fgd-denox](http://www.prnewswire.com/news-releases/global-industrial-flue-gas-treatment-systems-services-fgd-denox).  
549 22. Researchmoz. (2014). Global and China Flue Gas Desulfurization System Industry.  
550 Published: 2014-02-28. P.163.  
551 [www.researchmoz.us/global-and-china-flue-gas-desulfuriz---](http://www.researchmoz.us/global-and-china-flue-gas-desulfuriz---)  
552 23. Capata, R. and Veroli, M. (2012). *Mathematical Modeling of Biomass Gasification in*  
553 *a Circulating Fluidized Bed (CFB) Reactor in the Journal of Sustainable Bioenergy*  
554 *Systems (JSBS). Vol. 2 NO. 4. 2012. Pp. 160-169. DOI;10.4326/jsbs.2012.24022.*  
555 [www.scirp/journal/paperinformation.aspx?paperID=26036](http://www.scirp/journal/paperinformation.aspx?paperID=26036)  
556 24. Calabria, A.; Capata, R.; Veroli, M. and Pepe G. (2013). *Testing of the Ultra-Micro*  
557 *Gas Turbine Devices (1 ~ 10 KW) For Portable Power Generation at University of*  
558 *Roma 1: First Test Results. 6 April 2013. Engineering, 2013, 5, 481-489.*  
559 <http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/eng.2013.55058>. published online May 2013.  
560 [www.downloadily.com/docs/mit-s-micro-gas-turbine-engine.html](http://www.downloadily.com/docs/mit-s-micro-gas-turbine-engine.html) .  
561 Hosted by [www.scirp.org](http://www.scirp.org).  
562 25. Veroli, M. and Calabria, A. (2012). *A Proposal For Power Quality Management*  
563 *Protocol in Residential Buildings With Co-generative and Renewable Systems in the*  
564 *Proceedings of ASME 2012 International Mechanical Engineering Congress and*  
565 *Exposition. Vol. 10: Emerging Technologies and Topics Public policy. Houston,*  
566 *Texas, USA. 9-15 November 2012. ISBN: 978-0-7918-4526-4. Paper NO.*  
567 *IMECE2012-85583. Pp. 99-105. Doi:10.1115/IMECE2012-85583.*  
568 [www.proceedings.asmedigitalcollection.asme.org/proceedings--](http://www.proceedings.asmedigitalcollection.asme.org/proceedings--)  
569 26. Capata, R. and Calabria, A. (2012). *Power Generation With Vegetable Oils in The*  
570 *Italian Scenario: A 20MW Case Study: Technical Feasibility Analysis and Economic*  
571 *Aspects in the Proceedings of the ASME-IMECE2011 Conference. VOL. 4 No. 9*  
572 *Part A. [www.proceedings.asmedigitalcollection.asme.org/pdfaccess.55ht](http://www.proceedings.asmedigitalcollection.asme.org/pdfaccess.55ht)*  
573  
574 27. Mathes and Porton Bhd. (2014). Malaysian AM Cash Market Prices for Palm Oil.  
575 August 29, 2014.12.55 UTC+8. <http://www.palmoilliq.com/palm-oil-plantation-stocks>.  
576 28. KSitij. (2014). Comparison of Global Petrol Prices Across the World With India. 30  
577 August 2014. <http://kshitij.com/re-2014-prices>.  
578 29. Webcrawler. 2014. Gas Prices in World Market. WJXT Jacksonville. 30 August  
579 2014. [www.webcrawler.com](http://www.webcrawler.com)  
580 30. Confusedaboutenergy. (2014). Fuel Prices – Confused About Energy?: Butane and  
581 Propane. <http://www.confusedaboutenergy.co.uk/index.php--fuel/fuel-prices>.  
582 31. Genpower. (2014). Honda, Robin, Kohler and Briggs & Stramon Petrol Generators.  
583 Gp10000 EGX, AVR, 10KVA, 230V, GX630. 08 September 2014.  
584 [www.genpower.co.za/generators](http://www.genpower.co.za/generators).  
585  
586