



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	2014_AIR_12401
Title of the Manuscript:	DEVELOPMENT OF FLUE GAS TREATMENT UNIT FOR PACKAGED GASOLINE GENERATORS
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The paper is undoubtedly improved but some problems remain. Way the "analytical tools" was deleted? This part must be detailed to give a critical scientific aspect. The author contribution still missing. The conclusion are the same, We suggest to re-write it, underline their actions or targets Check the editing: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> unify the format of bulleted list; unify the tables format; use the same font for all the paper; Unify the number of empty lines between two paragraphs. Check typing errors: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Line 105 : the line stars with a point; Line 120 and 121 : (1) and (2) refer to? Line 201 : CO2 but CO₂; Line 208 - 302 – 358 - 374: kW but kW; Line 332 : ?CO/?NO_y 	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Italy