

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	2014_AIR_12401
Title of the Manuscript:	DEVELOPMENT OF FLUE GAS TREATMENT UNIT FOR PACKAGED GASOLINE GENERATORS
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The reading of the paper is very difficult. In some parts it is not possible to understand the concept that authors want to describe. The lack of a coherent line makes it "tough "to read and understand. All parts of the paper are not correlated; The technologies description are similar to a student report (in a technical paper the rev consider useless the greenhouse effect), the table are very "old (?)". Some tables report 1998 data????? The paper is also not free of commercialism! There are many typing mistake in the text (i.e. page 4 line 167, page 5 table 4, page 7 line 302 ????). Finally the technologies description is too long and the aim of the paper is missing or lost in the text. So became tough to understand the reasons of writing the paper. Some parts are "directly paste" from others works, and some parts are repeated with the exactly the same words (page 1 lines 26-36, page 3 lines 111- 121).	
Minor REVISION comments	 I declare my competing interest. Recently publication: "Mathematical Modelling of Biomass Gasification in a Circulating Fluidized Bed CFB Reactor" – (Journal of Sustainable Bioenergy Systems (JSBS) 2012) 	

SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

	"Testing of the ultra-micro gas turbine	
	devices (1 – 10 kW) for portable power	
	generation at university of Roma 1: first	
	tests results" – (Journal of Engineering	
	(ENG))	
	"A proposal for power quality management	
	protocol in residential buildings with co-	
	generative and renewable systems" –	
	(ASME International Mechanical	
	Engineering Congress and Exposition	
	2012)	
	"The power generation with vegetable oils:	
	a case study" – (ASME International	
	Mechanical Engineering Congress and	
	Exposition 2011)	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Italy