



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	2014_AIR_12401
Title of the Manuscript:	DEVELOPMENT OF FLUE GAS TREATMENT UNIT FOR PACKAGED GASOLINE GENERATORS
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
(1) Bad and inconsistence in reference citing. The author(s) superscripted some reference numbers, this is wrong. It should be corrected. (2) Lines 85 – 100, the author(s) cited Reference [5] four times under two paragraphs. This looks suspicious! (3) The author(s) quoted some formulas that are never used in the study. This is not ideal. All quoted equations should be removed (4) Thorough editorial work is needed before this paper can be accepted. (5) Some sub headings in the paper are not meaningful.	Superscripted reference numbers have been corrected Reference [5] has been harmonized. Quoted equations have been removed except where it is set as a Standard for computation in Legal Regulations (see line 123 where its omission will undermine the law/Standard). Paper has been edited and upgraded. Such subheadings have been eliminated. The section ‘Analytical Tools’ has equally been eliminated as demanded by the reviewer.