



**SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1**

**PART 1:**

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	2014_AIR_12401
Title of the Manuscript:	DEVELOPMENT OF FLUE GAS TREATMENT UNIT FOR PACKAGED GASOLINE GENERATORS
Type of the Article	Review Article

**PART 2:**

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The paper is undoubtedly improved but some problems remain.</li> <li>Way the “analytical tools” was deleted? This part must be detailed to give a critical scientific aspect.</li> <li>The author contribution still missing. The conclusion are the same, We suggest to re-write it, underline their actions or targets</li> <li>Check the editing:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>unify the format of bulleted list;</li> <li>unify the tables format;</li> <li>use the same font for all the paper;</li> <li>Unify the number of empty lines between two paragraph.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Check typing errors:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Line 105 : the line stars with a point;</li> <li>Line 120 and 121 : (1) and (2) refer to?</li> <li>Line 201 : CO2 but CO<sub>2</sub>;</li> <li>Line 208 - 302 – 358 - 374: kW but kW;</li> <li>Line 332 : ?CO/?NO<sub>y</sub></li> <li>.....</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<p>“Analytical tools” was deleted as recommended by reviewer 2. It has been upgraded and included now.</p> <p>The application of the analytical tools has been suggested as a springboard towards the design and evaluation of the SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub> , CO and CO<sub>2</sub> capture unit. The conclusion has been upgraded.</p> <p>Corrected. Corrected. Corrected. Corrected.</p> <p>Corrected. Corrected. Corrected. Corrected. Corrected.</p>